### **Report for Resolution**

**Report to** Planning Applications Committee

Date 23 August 2012

Report of Head of Planning Services

**Subject** 12/01245/F 126 Cambridge Street Norwich NR2 2BE

Item 5(7)

### SUMMARY

Description:	Demolition of existing single storey extension and erection of	
	single and two storey extension to rear of dwelling.	
Reason for	Objection	
consideration at		
Committee:		
Recommendation:	Approve	
Ward:	Town Close	
Contact Officer:	Mr Kian Saedi Planner 01603 212524	
Valid Date:	14th June 2012	
Applicant:	Ms R Masccarhenus	
Agent:	Kevin Cole	

## INTRODUCTION

### The Site

### **Location and Context**

- 1. The site is located on the north side of Cambridge Street near to the Junction of Trinity Street. The application property is a mid-Victorian end terrace house in a residential area just south-east of the City Centre.
- 2. The property itself is two-storey and set upon a relatively narrow plot. Directly to the east of the property are the rear gardens belonging to the terraced properties located along Trinity Street.

#### **Constraints**

3. No constraints.

### **Planning History**

**12/00802/F** - Demolition of existing single storey extension and erection of single and two storey extension to rear of dwelling. (WITHDRAWN - 13/06/2012)

### **Equality and Diversity Issues**

There are no significant equality or diversity issues.

## The Proposal

- 4. The applicant proposes to demolish the existing single storey rear extension and erect a single and two-storey extension to the rear of the property.
- 5. The single storey extension will form an infill to the existing gap between the kitchen and western boundary of the site and will extend 4.3 metres to fit flush with the current rear face of the kitchen. The single storey extension will have a flat roof and reach a height of 2.8 metres.
- 6. The bathroom is currently located at ground floor level and accessed through the kitchen. The two storey extension will be located above the kitchen and will provide a bathroom at 1<sup>st</sup> floor level of the property to replace the existing ground floor bathroom. The two storey extension will feature a pitched roof leading from the existing roof of the dwelling house, but at a slightly shallower angle. The highest section of roof on the two storey extension will reach a height of 5.6 metres from ground level, measures 3.2 metres in depth, 2.5 metres in width and the height of the eave will be 4.5 metres from ground level.

## **Representations Received**

7. Adjacent and neighbouring properties have been notified in writing. Four letters of representation have been received although one letter of objection has since been withdrawn. The issues raised are summarised in the table below.

8.

Issues Raised	Response
Reduction in value of property/potential	See paragraph 14
letting income of 67 Trinity Street	
Loss of light to the garden and internal	See paragraphs 12-14
space of 67 Trinity Street	
Disruption to the neighbouring garden	See paragraph 10
Creation of an enclosed space and sense	See paragraphs 15-16
of overbearing development at 67 Trinity	
Street	
The Norwich Society had originally raised	Paragraph 12-14
an objection to the proposal on grounds of	
overshadowing and loss of light. It has	
since been clarified that it was not meant	
as an objection to the development but	
rather as a note of caution. Since	
inspecting the sun path analysis submitted	
by the applicant, the caution has been	
withdrawn with mention made to the	
applicant making every effort to ensure	
that the neighbours do not suffer light	
deprivation.	

## **ASSESSMENT OF PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

## **Relevant Planning Policies**

### **National Planning Policy Framework:**

Section 7 – Requiring good design Section 11 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

## Relevant policies of the adopted East of England Plan Regional Spatial Strategy 2008

ENV7 - Quality in the Built Environment

# Relevant policies of the adopted Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk 2011

Policy 2 – Promoting good design

# Relevant saved policies of the adopted City of Norwich Replacement Local Plan 2004

HBE12 - High quality of design in new developments EP22 - High standard of amenity for residential occupiers

#### Other Material considerations

Written Ministerial Statement: Planning for Growth March 2011
Interim statement on the off-site provision of affordable housing December 2011
The Localism Act 2011 – s143 Local Finance Considerations

## **Principle of Development**

### **Policy Considerations**

9. The principle of the single and two-storey extension is acceptable provided that the development is satisfactory both in design terms (saved policy HBE12 of the Local Plan) and with regards to the impact upon the residential amenity of existing and potential residents in the vicinity (saved policy EP22 of the Local Plan).

## Impact on Living Conditions

### **Noise and Disturbance**

10. One letter of objection has raised concern regarding the disruption to the garden of 67 Trinity Street to allow the works to commence. The applicant would be expected to enter a Party Wall Agreement with any neighbouring properties directly affected by the development, which would constitute a civil matter, not material in the assessment of this planning application.

### **Overlooking**

11. The proposed window and door at ground floor level will not result in any overlooking to neighbouring properties. One window is proposed on the north-west elevation bathroom window. Any planning consent would be conditioned to ensure that this window is obscure glazed to a minimum level 4 Pilkington standard of obscurity in order to protect the amenity and living conditions of neighbouring properties.

### Overshadowing

- 12. Objections to the proposal have been received citing the loss of light to the garden and living space of 67 Trinity Street that will arise as a result of the development. In particular, attention has been drawn to the increased overshadowing that will affect the rear lower floor window at 67 Trinity Street at 4pm in the month of March and the increased overshadowing to the rear garden of 67 Trinity Street throughout the year. Discontent was also expressed at the lack of a sun-path analysis depicting overshadowing for the winter months. The applicant has subsequently submitted a sun-path analysis covering the months of March, May, July, September and December.
- 13. The sun path analysis illustrates the increase in overshadowing as a result of the proposed development shown in red on the submitted plans. Members will be shown these plans during the committee presentation. The sun path-analysis illustrates that whilst overshadowing will increase as a result of the development, any overshadowing to the rear garden and habitable rooms at 67 Trinity Street occurs predominantly late afternoon and is only increased in the months of July-Sept. The remainder of the year, this room is in shadow at 4pm anyway. Whilst the development will result in a marginal increase in overshadowing, the increase is not considered significant enough to warrant a refusal of the application.
- 14. Objections to the proposal also cite the reduction in property value and potential letting income that will result from the increased overshadowing. It is important to mention that loss of property value does not form a material planning consideration.

### Overbearing Nature of Development and Creating an Enclosed Space

- 15. Objection has been raised regarding the potential feeling of enclosure and sense of overbearing development that will result from the two storey extension. The L-shaped rear garden of 67 Trinity Street will mean that at its closest proximity, the two storey extension will be 4.5 metres from the rear single-storey extension of number 67 Trinity Street and 10 metres at its furthest point.
- 16. The two-storey extension features a pitched roof, which is stepped back from the ground floor rear elevation of the application building. It is considered that the applicant has designed the two-storey extension to limit any sense of enclosure as far as possible, whilst creating the required space to provide the 1<sup>st</sup> floor bathroom, and that any sense of enclosure is not significant enough to merit refusal of the application.

## Design

- 17. The two storey extension features a pitched roof extending from the main roof of the main dwelling, but at a slightly shallower angle. This is synonymous with the design of several surrounding two-storey rear extensions at adjacent properties along Cambridge Street and Trinity Street.
- 18. The two storey element of the development has also been stepped back from the rear ground floor elevation in order to reduce overshadowing/sense of enclosure to neighbouring properties, but also to enable the development to appear subservient

- to the main dwelling.
- 19. The applicant has selected materials and external finishes to match the existing dwellinghouse as far as possible. The main difference in material selection relates to the flat roof of the single-storey extension, which will feature a zinc seamed or fibreglass finish rather than the existing felt. This will provide the same colour finish and provides a higher standard of quality than the existing felt roof, which is in some state of disrepair.
- 20. The single-storey infill extension reaches a height of 2.8 metres from ground level, which is approximately 1 metre higher than the existing boundary wall but is not considered to result in an unacceptable degree of enclosure or tunnelling effect to number 124 Cambridge Street.

### **Conclusions**

21. It is considered that the single and two-storey extension is acceptable in design terms and will not harm the residential amenity of neighbouring properties to an unacceptable degree. The applicant has made every effort to reduce the extent of overshadowing to neighbouring properties and this is demonstrated both in the design of the scheme and in the sun-path analysis, which illustrates a marginal increase in overshadowing to neighbouring properties as a consequence of the proposed development. The proposal is therefore recommended for approval on the basis that it accords with the objectives of Sections 7 and 11 of the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy ENV7 of the East of England Plan (2008), Policy 2 of the Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (2011), saved policies HBE12 and EP22 of the City of Norwich Replacement Local Plan (2004) and all other material considerations.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

To approve application ref (12/01245/F) and grant planning permission subject to the following conditions:

- 1) Standard time limit
- 2) Development to be carried out in accordance with submitted plans
- 3) The first floor bathroom window on the north-west elevation must be obscure glazed to a minimum level 4 Pilkington standard of obscurity and non-opening unless the parts of the window which can be opened are more than 1.7 metres above the floor of the room in which the window is installed.





© Crown Copyright and database right 2012. Ordnance Survey 100019747.

Planning Application No 12/01245/F

126 Cambridge Street 1:500 Site Address

Scale



