Report to	Cabinet	Item
	11 December 2013	
Report of	Head of city development services	11
Subject	Award of contract for housing structural repairs and improvements – contract C	

KEY DECISION

Purpose

To consider awarding the contract for structural repairs and improvements.

Recommendation

To delegate to the deputy chief executive, in consultation with the portfolio holder for housing, authority to award the contract for housing structural repairs and improvements - Contract C, subject to a satisfactory evaluation process and the successful tender prices being within the investment plan forecasts.

Corporate and service priorities

The report helps to meet the corporate priority "decent housing for all" and the service plan priority of maintaining all homes to a good standard.

Financial implications

The value of the contract is estimated to be £380,000 to be financed from existing budgets within the housing revenue account.

Ward/s All wards

Cabinet member Councillor Bremner – Housing

Contact officers

Chris Rayner, Head of property services, NPS Norwich Ltd 01603 213208

Background documents

None

Report

Background

- 1. The council has a programme of structural repairs and improvements deemed necessary in order to ensure the housing stock remains in a good state of repair and tenants have quality homes to live in. The contract covered in this report form a part of this programme of works.
- 2. On completion of the tendering process and to enable the works to proceed without undue delay, it is proposed that the deputy chief executive be given delegated authority to award the contract in consultation with the portfolio holder for housing.
- 3. The scope of the contract is as follows: contract C consists of a range of repairs and improvements including drainage, underpinning/ground stabilisation, brickwork stitching/reinforcing repairs and plaster and repointing. Each individual site has its own bespoke list of defects and there are 16 properties included in the contract.

Tender process

- 4. An open tendering process is being used. This is the quickest competitive process and is being used in order to reach a conclusion at the earliest opportunity.
- 5. A notice was placed in "Contract Finder" (formally Supply2Gov) inviting tenders.
- 6. Suppliers were asked to submit details of their company in terms of finance, contractual matters, insurances, quality assurance, environmental standards, equality and diversity policies, references and previous experience and these are evaluated to see if there is any reason to disqualify the supplier from the process.
- 7. At the same time suppliers submitted details of how they will meet the requirement for work package and the price that they would charge for this work. These are evaluated at the same time as the company details.
- 8. The tender return date is 4 December. It is anticipated that the tender evaluation process will be completed by 13 December 2013 with a start on site expected to be late January 2014.

Tender evaluation

- 9. The supplier selection process requires suppliers to complete a questionnaire. The responses given are then evaluated against pre-determined criteria. This is a pass/fail evaluation and determines whether the tender submitted is compliant with the specification requirements.
- 10. The evaluation criteria are stated in the tender documents as lowest compliant tender, i.e. the lowest price that fully meets all the requirements of the specification.

Finance

11. The housing revenue account makes budget provision for structural repairs and improvements and the cost of this contract is expected to be within existing forecasts for the 2013 - 14 programme.

Integrated impact as	ssessment NORWICH City Council
The IIA should assess the in	npact of the recommendation being made by the report
Detailed guidance to help w	h completing the assessment can be found here. Delete this row after completion
Report author to complete	
Committee:	Cabinet
Committee date:	11 December 2013
	Head of property convices NDS Nerwich Ltd
Head of service:	Head of property services, NPS Norwich Ltd
Head of service: Report subject:	Award of housing structural repairs and improvements - Contract C

	Impact			
Economic (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Finance (value for money)		\square		The tender process should ensure that the Council achieves the best value for money.
Other departments and services e.g. office facilities, customer contact	\square			
ICT services	\square			
Economic development	\square			
Financial inclusion				
Social (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Safeguarding children and adults	\square			
S17 crime and disorder act 1998	\square			
Human Rights Act 1998				
Health and well being				

		Impact		
Equality and diversity (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Relations between groups (cohesion)	\square			
Eliminating discrimination & harassment	\square			
Advancing equality of opportunity				
Environmental (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Transportation	\square			
Natural and built environment		\square		These contracts will ensure the built environment is maintained and improved to a high standard
Waste minimisation & resource use	\square			
Pollution	\square			
Sustainable procurement	\square			
Energy and climate change				
(Please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments

	Impact	
Risk management		 There is a risk of challenge from an unsuccessful supplier. This risk has been mitigated by the fact that the value of the contracts is below the thresholds in the Public Contracts Regulations. Also the tender has followed an open process with award criteria being based on the lowest price compliant tender, but there is always a risk of challenge from unsuccessful suppliers. There is a risk that the appointed supplier could fail during the life of the contract. This is low risk due to the relatively short nature of the contract and the planned nature of the work. In addition to this the Council is not investing in the supplier and so the risk is one of service continuity rather than financial, which is further mitigated by the fact that the work type is planned not responsive in nature.

Positive
Value for money
Maintaining the built environment
Negative
Neutral
Risk of challenge and/or supplier failure
Issues