Norwich City Council

SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Item 8

REPORT for meeting to be held on 6 June 2013

Annual scrutiny review – Norwich city council 2012 - 2013

Purpose This annual review reports on the work and progress that has been made by the scrutiny committee for the period 2012 – 2013.

Article 6d of the council's constitution (overview & scrutiny committees); the scrutiny committee will report annually to the council on its workings and make recommendations for future work programmes and amended working methods if appropriate.

Recommendations

That the scrutiny committee adopts the scrutiny review and recommends that the council adopts it at the earliest available meeting.

Contact Officer: Steve Goddard – scrutiny officer stevegoddard@norwich.gov.uk 01603 212491 Annual review of the scrutiny committee 2013 - 2014



Introduction by Claire Stephenson, the chair of the scrutiny committee

As the council continues to face financial challenges and seeks to save £2 million each year, the scrutiny committee is a valuable forum for exploring ways in which services can continue to be delivered in the context of further budget cuts. More than ever, the scrutiny process needs to be able to judge whether the council's work could be carried out more efficiently or in different ways, and to act as a critical friend, making suggestions for improvements where appropriate.

National and local changes have already caused difficulties for the council. A worsening financial climate has made it more difficult for the council to keep up with processing benefits as more citizens have made claims. In the future, the Government's welfare reforms will create further challenges. The scrutiny committee's repeated examinations of the council's benefit service have highlighted areas for improvement and the committee will continue to monitor the progress of this department via its improvement plan. I hope that this work of the scrutiny committee has had a very real impact on the lives of citizens in Norwich.

In June of this civic year, all members of the scrutiny committee will be taking part in a training day that is designed to support a positive teamwork approach. I hope this development opportunity will further enhance individual and collective ability and help make the scrutiny role for members even more enjoyable and worthwhile.

I have been pleased this year that useful scrutiny topics have been suggested by members of the public and individual members of the scrutiny committee. I hope that public engagement in the scrutiny process will continue to grow and that issues discussed by the committee will resonate across the city.

A cross-party approach has led to the positive reception of the findings of the task and finish group set up to examine community space. These findings are due to be considered at a meeting of the cabinet this summer.

The summary of scrutiny outcomes 2012 to 2013 shows conclusions and recommendations of the scrutiny committee which have been acted upon. These include further engagement with sheltered housing tenants, a meeting to deal with the issues of street and gully cleaning on Gladstone Street and the setting up of an accreditation scheme for employers who agree to pay the living wage. When recommendations such as these are taken up, scrutiny benefits residents of the city and we are glad to have made such differences. The committee appreciates feedback and information about the outcomes arising from its recommendations.

This coming year is likely to be dominated by welfare reform. However, scrutiny members have also highlighted the topics of the 'Benefits improvement plan and performance', the city deal and the healthy city programme as projects which will have major impacts on Norwich and its residents. I hope the scrutiny committees work will improve the lives of those who are affected by these programmes.

As Chair of the scrutiny committee I present the 2012/13 annual report.

The membership of the scrutiny committee for 2012 – 2013

Councillors:

Stephenson – Chair Grenville Howard Lubbock Sands (M) Stonard Storie Manning – Vice chair Bradford Brimblecombe Button Galvin Gee

Index

Introduction

Why do we have scrutiny and what does it do?

Scrutiny work programming

Quarterly performance monitoring

Work programme setting and scoping areas of work

Evidence based scrutiny

Recommendations and reporting

What happens next?

Decision call-in

Councillor call for action

Scrutiny task and finish groups

Summary of scrutiny outcomes 2012 - 2013

Task & finish group – community space

Development and the role of scrutiny looking forward

Public involvement and membership of the scrutiny committee

Why do we have scrutiny and what does it do?

Scrutiny is member led and independent of political party arrangements. At Norwich city council the scrutiny committee is chaired by a member of the opposition.

Scrutiny is the name given to the process whereby councillors investigate, scrutinise, and gain overview of the work of the council. More specifically the scrutiny committee pays particular attention to; decisions made by the cabinet and delegated officers in relation to council policy and services. The scrutiny process can provide a way of influencing decisions and policy development.

Accountability of decision makers is one of the main drivers to modern and efficient local government. Scrutiny can also assist in aligning council policy and priorities, promoting efficiency and effectiveness and enhancing partnerships. One of the key roles for councillors who are not cabinet members is to undertake a scrutiny role for the council. At Norwich the scrutiny committee is encompassed as part of good governance and decision-making principles that can support the council's effectiveness in the delivery of services. Scrutiny can do this by offering an independent challenge to cabinet/executive decision-making that provides accountability.

Effective challenge via scrutiny

The guiding principle of local government scrutiny is to provide effective challenge to those who exercise executive power, and spend public money; the scrutiny committee can offer critical friend challenge by councillors that are representing the public and those that use the services provided by the council. There is also scope for local authority scrutiny committees to review the work of other agencies that affect the lives, health and wellbeing of their residents. This can provide the basis for continuous and constructive dialogue between public service providers and those who use them. Also, scrutiny is an opportunity for mutual learning and potential benefits.

Scrutiny is a requirement supported by legislation

Under the local government act 2000, scrutiny was introduced as a check to the power of the new council cabinets. Moving forward, subsequent acts of parliament have come in to extend the remit of scrutiny along with its statutory responsibilities. For example, local government scrutiny committees can now look at the work of partner organisations as well.

The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 enabled local authorities to scrutinise other partners, such as the Environment Agency. This, along with other legislation relating to scrutiny powers has now been consolidated in the Localism Act 2011.

Scrutiny work programming

The work programme for the scrutiny committee is informed by a combination of what councillors' feel are important topics, gathered from their ward work and their activities across the whole council or members of the public highlighting issues for debate. Council officers can also request that scrutiny investigate and consider certain issues on their behalf. Once a topic for scrutiny has been agreed, the topic will usually be required to comply with the principles of Picc. Public interest, Impact, Council & performance and keeping in Context.

The main areas of scrutiny work involve the following activities; Horizonscanning scrutiny, Pre scrutiny and Post-decision scrutiny along with performance data monitoring.

Horizon-scanning scrutiny searches for any likely developments coming the way of local government. The idea behind this is to assist in planning ahead in order to help the council adapt accordingly.

Pre-decision scrutiny examines issues pre cabinet and considers and comments on proposals, objectives and draft policies. This way the scrutiny committee has the ability to influence or inform development of cabinet work before decision or enactment. This makes it possible for the scrutiny committee to assist the council in achieving its targets.

Post-decision scrutiny considers and comments on the implementation of a policy and the related performance. This way, cabinet can use scrutiny to review the effectiveness of its decisions. Evidence can then be used in assisting the council to revise the policy accordingly, if this is necessary.

Quarterly performance monitoring

The council's programme of meetings is set so that the scrutiny committee sits to coincide with the quarterly performance reporting to cabinet as this allows for pre-scrutiny to take place. This enables the scrutiny committee to bring to the cabinet's attention any issues that may require closer scrutiny. Sometimes the scrutiny committee will programme a slot at one of its meetings to have a closer look at any areas of concern that may arise due to performance issues. The quarterly performance data is considered along side period budget monitoring information.

A copy of member questions and the officer and cabinet portfolio member responses are circulated prior to the meeting. This enables member questions to be answered as fully as possible prior to the meeting, leaving more time to focus on any key areas that may need scrutiny attention at the meeting.

An example of an item resulting from the scrutiny committee's look at the quarterly performance data is the work that has been carried out around the LGSS benefits service.

Work programme setting and scoping areas of work

In setting the work programme the aim is not to load it with too many separate topics that none of them can be satisfactorily dealt with. Coordination with cabinet and corporate leadership team is advisable when programming in order to keep the work of scrutiny relevant to the council's strategic aims. However, a certain amount of flexibility needs to be built into the scrutiny work programme to allow for any unexpected developments, such as the need to scrutinise the council's performance in response to a sudden issue, or for example; repercussions of a severe weather episode etc.

Scrutiny should be programmed to be in sync with the decision-making schedule of the cabinet. This will allow pre-decision scrutiny to conclude well in advance to a relevant cabinet decision being taken. This can allow the cabinet time to factor the scrutiny committee's findings into their decision making process. Post-decision scrutiny should not take place until the policy chosen for scrutiny has had time to take effect and for performance data or patterns to emerge. With regard to horizon-scanning, scrutiny is most productive when sufficient information is available about developments on the horizon so that informed questions can be devised.

Evidence based scrutiny

Scrutiny committees are empowered to acquire the information they need to perform effective scrutiny including publically exempt documents and information.

The evidence gathering by scrutiny committees can take many forms. This can include; policy documents, press cuttings, data and officer or member reports. People are also asked to attend meetings to give oral evidence such as local residents, officers, partners or experts. Another useful method to gain evidence can be site/location visits, such as the visits made by members of the task and finish group – community space through out the last year.

Recommendations and Reporting

Once a scrutiny piece of work has taken place, recommendations are sometimes made and reported to the cabinet for consideration. At Norwich, this is usually done as part of the lead officers' report or paying regard to the minutes of the scrutiny committee in informing the final decision.

Recommendations should strive to be, as much as possible, specific measurable achievable relevant & timed - SMART.

What happens next?

Once agreement to scrutiny recommendations has taken place whether it be something that officers have agreed to, or the cabinet, this should not always be where the scrutiny process ends. It is good practice for the scrutiny committee to set a review date to receive an update from the relevant portfolio holder on the cabinet or officers, on the progress that has been made towards implementation of the scrutiny committee's recommendations. However, this monitoring can sometimes simply be achieved via overview of the performance management data which is received at committee periodically throughout the year.

Decision call - in

Call – in is the term used in describing the process whereby non – executive members can request the executive to reconsider a decision it has made. As part of this process the scrutiny committee can act as a forum where the validity and quality of a called in decision can be discussed before it is referred back to the cabinet. Once back with the cabinet, those who made the original decision can either change it while taking on board suggestions from scrutiny or they can reject any recommendations and keep the decision as it was. While a decision is called – in, it can not be enacted until the above process has been undertaken. If the scrutiny committee makes no recommendations as a result of a call-in, the original cabinet decision can be enacted without having to go back to the cabinet once the meeting of scrutiny has taken place.

Councillor call for action

The Councillor Call for Action represents a tool in equipping councilors in their local advocate role for their communities and further strengthens their position of being community champions. Although expected to continue to resolve issues informally, where councillors are not satisfied that real action has been taken to resolve the issue that they have raised, resolution may be sought via CCfA. This enables any councillor of the council to ask the scrutiny committee to take a matter further. Councillor call for action is a last resort option only.

The Council's CCfA protocol can be obtained upon request from the scrutiny officer and is also available on e-councillor.

Scrutiny task & finish groups

Task & finish groups are time limited focus groups that report their review findings to the main committee or the cabinet and are supported by relevant officers of the council and or outside contributors.

Most topics on the scrutiny work programme can be dealt with as part of the agenda for a regular meeting. Others may be larger pieces of work that require a separate or special one issue meeting or series of meetings. If a task & finish group is to be set up in place of a full committee review taking place, interested members should volunteer to be involved. It is usual that task & finish groups are not politically balanced unless a strong need exists. This is because the main scrutiny committee which is balanced has to agree the findings, or delegates the task & finish group on behalf of the whole committee to report directly to the cabinet. Actually, it is much more

important to appoint those members with an interest or expertise in the issue, irrespective of political groups.

It should also be decided who will be co-ordinating the group and organising the work streams.

Summary of scrutiny outcomes 2012 – 2013

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Review of sheltered	To recommend that	Careful monitoring of uptake
housing provision	cabinet:	will take place with the
Pre scrutiny of cabinet decision; to consider the issues arising from phase II of the sheltered housing review that seeks to ensure the council has a sheltered housing provision that is fit for purpose; which tenants choose to live in; supports independent living and provides community hubs for older people.	Monitor the impact of the proposals on supply and demand of social housing and amend the policy as necessary; Identify opportunities to buy or build appropriate housing, in any sector, for older people; Encourage social housing providers to provide 'lifetime' homes; and Ensure that the voices of all tenants in sheltered housing are heard through a variety of engagement methods	 completion of the review. The aim is to provide for the needs of tenants in the most appropriate way. As part of the 30 year business plan for the housing service it is an aspiration that we look to build new sheltered housing and this could be a mix of type. Any new build will be done having actively canvassed the views of tenants. The council engages and consults with tenant reps of the Sheltered Housing Tenants Forum and sheltered housing officers attend monthly tenants' meetings in all schemes. Where changes occur within the service, all tenants receive a communication. Regular 'surgeries' are held in the majority of our schemes for tenants to see the support worker. There is also a dedicated telephone number where any tenant living in sheltered housing can ring for help and advice. Lifetime homes provision forms part of planning policy. There was a cabinet decision in January 2013 to enter into partnership for the provision of a housing with care and dementia care scheme/facility subject to conditions being met.

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Food banks	Members felt that it	A Food bank project with the
To discuss the reasons why people are using food banks in increasing numbers and to help inform our thinking in the way we allocate resources and commission services	would be important for them to find out if there were particular reasons for why there was a trend for people's periods of crisis to increase in time, and to receive further information from the Food bank, that breaks down the reasons for people presenting for three vouchers or more	aim of identifying reasons why people were presenting on more than one occasion has yet to take place. This is unable to be progressed at present due to the project not now being undertaken by the foodbank. However, members heard that the reasons given by clients for crisis can be broken down between the following headings; benefits delays, low income, benefit changes, and debt.
	That the communications team helps promote the work of food banks via print and online media	Appropriate reference will be made to the work of the food bank in relevant material.
	Clarify with food bank staff that the city council has debt advice officers available to provide guidance to food bank users in the future	Food bank staff are now sign posting clients. A training session was held in January 2013 for food bank volunteers based on identifying and involving available agencies around the city.

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Tennant scrutiny	The annual report be	The participation of tenants was
panels	presented to the	welcomed by the scrutiny
•	scrutiny committee	committee.
To gain an	annually by the tenant	Members wished that
understanding of and to	reps; to be entered onto	the council be
comment on the new	the work programme for	encouraged to
social housing	Nov 2013	promote; common
regulation for tenant		standards between
engagement and	&	housing associations
scrutiny and the		and the council that
proposals for revising	The annual review be	promote best
the current tenant	received by scrutiny;	practice for involvement
involvement structure to	to be entered onto the	of and outcomes for
ensure compliance	work programme for	tenants.
	Nov 2013	The council was also
		encouraged to
		continuously share
		learning and
		comparison
		with other authorities.
		And that while
		working as an
		independent entity,
		tenant scrutiny be
		made aware that they
		are able to contact and
		liaise with the council
		scrutiny committee if
		necessary
		The council's scrutiny
		committee will carry
		out its role by;
		performance monitoring,
		receiving the annual
		report from the tenant
		reps and by receiving
		the annual review

Comutinu tonio	Constitute required	Outcome
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Street and gully cleaning on Gladstone Street	The head of city development services was requested to set up a meeting with residents in the area to talk about the issue and invite the county council and Anglia Water to attend along with officers of Norwich city council to address the localised flooding incidences	A successful event was held in January 2013 and a report on the outcome of the meeting summarising the issues raised and actions proposed has been published on the council's website as well as circulated to local councillors and the relevant portfolio holder.
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Night time economy To look into the night time economy, early morning restriction notices and late night levy. And to gain an understanding of the impact of associated crime, beneficial and detrimental economic considerations and the impact on services in Norwich.	Members be kept informed of the progress made with addressing the responsibilities of bar staff and licensees with regard to drunkenness and cheap unit pricing of alcohol	There may be a future monitoring role for scrutiny or county health scrutiny with the development of a Norwich alcohol strategy. An action plan is currently being developed between partners and is led by the clinical commissioning group
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Normandie tower lifts Councillor Lubbock requested that the scrutiny committee take up this issue, on behalf of a leaseholder, and that the committee should consider the ongoing issues relating to the lifts at Normandie Tower and the award of the contract for repairs.	Members be kept informed of the work being undertaken to improve the reliability of the new lifts.	The committee will consider a report from the Norfolk property services Norwich liaison board on the issues surrounding the lift repairs at Normandie Tower later in the year.

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Benefits claims	As a result of this	Information on costs have been
process	scrutiny the members	circulated to the committee
	requested officers to	along with an explanation of
to gain an overview and	provide the comparative	some of the issues that led to
to comment on the	costs of contractors in	the back log.
processes involved in	clearing backlog versus	
dealing with benefits	employed staff if the	
claims and the claims	team was resourced to	A number of factors have been
backlog	the numbers that	cited such as increased volume
	include the number of	of claims as a result of the
	contracted staff. Also,	recession, an increase in the
	detailed explanation of	electronic transfers from the
	the specific issues that	Department for Work and
	caused the backlog.	Pensions, changes to working
	De sules un detec freme	practices (multi-skilling staff) as
	Regular updates from	well as staff turnover.
	benefits board meetings for members were also	
	requested as was a	A suite of performance related
	review of the process	information has been
	that developed the	developed by the council and
	improvement plan and	LGSS. This has not yet been
	further review of the	finally signed off and agreed
	improvement plan to	but this is expected to be
	see if it requires	completed by the end of May
	adjustment to sustain	2013. This will facilitate regular
	continued improvement.	updates to be circulated to
	The scrutiny committee	Scrutiny committee members.
	also asked for	
	monitoring feedback on	The improvement plan and
	the effectiveness of the	effectiveness of the face to face
	face to face model	model were reviewed at the
		Scrutiny committee on 11 April
		2013.

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Deprivation, inequality	The council develops a	While noting the findings of
and welfare issues	scheme of accreditation	Marmot and the
(food banks follow up)	with employers in the	report/presentation; the scrutiny
(City who sign up to 'a	committee was keen to support
To; carry out further	living wage' as part of	keeping the issue of inequality
scrutiny work to gain an	the scheme currently	and deprivation at the top of the
overview picture of the	being developed by the	agenda and it was noted that
deprivation, inequality	Council	the Healthy City work
and welfare issues that		programme was being
Norwich residents	Members also	developed collaboratively
experience, and to	supported the idea that	across responsible agencies.
decide on any further	existing and future	
scrutiny work and/or	opportunities for	Within the Healthy City
recommendations	community gardening	Programme the council is
	projects that grow	prioritising working with
	healthy food but can	partners on evidence based
	also reduce social	solutions to address health
	isolation and improve	inequalities in Norwich; the
	health outcomes, be	scrutiny committee will
	considered	consider whether or not to
		place the healthy city
	It was also requested	programme on the work
	that the scrutiny	programme.
	committee has an	
	opportunity to input into	The task & finish group paid
	the development of the	broad regard to inequality
	social barometer	issues in its approach. It was
		also noted that community
	The committee also	centres should be venues
	asked that as part of the	where statutory agencies could
	scrutiny review of	provide easy to access
	community centres, the	services.
	council explores the use	
	of centres in the delivery	Future work of the scrutiny
	of community based	committee will in part be
	health and wellbeing	informed by the discussions
	projects with partners -	held as part of this topic.
	such as working with the	
	county council in linking	
	in with the early years	
	project. Also to consult	
	with the Clinical	
	Commissioning Group	
	regarding findings of the	
	task & finish group –	
	'community space'.	

Scrutiny request	Outcome
	The proposed council tax
· · · · ·	reduction scheme for 2013 to
	2014 was recommended by the
	cabinet and then approved by
	Council on January 13 2013.
	Council on Sandary 13 2013.
	A working party is to be set up
	at a suitable time to look at the
•	
	options for the 2014/15 scheme
	and in doing so examine other
	local authorities approaches
•	
-	
	Outcome
	The cabinet acknowledged the
•	comments made by the scrutiny
•	committee and accepted the
	recommendations made. The
	scheme is now in operation.
notified of all	
	A localism act guide was
0	published on the council's
that when, for the review	website including explanation of
and approval of	the assets of community value
compensation payments	provisions. Guidance on the
the sum exceeds £20K	process has also been
that the cabinet is	published on the council's
consulted. The	website.
view was that this	
legislation was poorly	
conceived/drafted. It	
was requested that as	
part of the planned work	
in explaining the	
localism act, care be	
-	
scheme so as not to	
	1
raise unrealistic	
raise unrealistic expectations for relevant	
raise unrealistic expectations for relevant community bodies.	
	compensation payments the sum exceeds £20K that the cabinet is consulted. The view was that this legislation was poorly conceived/drafted. It was requested that as part of the planned work in explaining the localism act, care be taken in explaining the

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Overview of the	That the Cabinet	The proposed amendments to
Corporate Plan 2012	consider amending the	the corporate plan 2012-15
– 2015	wording of the corporate	were accepted by the cabinet
	plan to state that the	and approved by the Council,
To gain an overview and	council 'seeks to	with the exception of the
refresh knowledge of	achieve' 20 mph zones	proposed performance
the Corporate Plan 2012	across the city;	measure for people using
-2015 prior to pre-	deross the erty,	community centres and the
scrutiny of the proposed	Also that a reference to	proposed measure change with
policy and budget	the healthy city	regards to the reduction of
framework at a later	programme is included	tonnage of residual waste.
	in the corporate plan.	tormage of residual waste.
meeting	in the corporate plan.	
	That contact he added	
	That context be added	
	to the corporate plan on	
	how the wider economic	
	position is impacting on	
	new house building.	
	to ask cabinet to include	
	a recycling waste	
	performance measure	
	based on the reduction	
	of tonnage of residual	
	waste;	
	to include the number of	
	people using community	
	centres as a	
	performance measure	
	under the heading City	
	of character and culture.	

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Community right to	The scrutiny committee	Agreed that this to be done at
challenge process	requested that a Liberal Democrat member be	the appropriate time
To review and comment on the proposed process, with a particular focus on the proposed governance arrangements for the scheme and to make any recommendations to Cabinet	included on any contracts working party convened to consider expressions of interest	Community right to challenge decisions now form standing items on scrutiny committee and cabinet forward agendas (annually in September if required)
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Proposed policy and budget framework for 2013/14 To comment on the proposed budget and make suggestions to cabinet regarding the proposed budget's ability to deliver the priorities of the council	The scrutiny committee endorsed the report and was content that the cabinet recommends the budget to Council. That the finance manager circulates a breakdown of the transport costs in the budget to scrutiny members	The proposed policy and budget framework was agreed by the council. A detailed breakdown between car mileage and cycle mileage is currently not possible; However, this is now something the council may consider as part of moving to a new payroll provider.
	To ask for a report to go to the sustainable development panel looking at income generation surrounding renewable energy.	A future meeting of the sustainable development panel will be looking at income generation surrounding renewable energy.
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Process and procedure - St Stephens and Chapelfield highways scheme	Due to public questions arising, the scrutiny committee reviewed the recent consultation on the proposed traffic changes in the city centre	Having considered the information in this report and presented at the meeting, the committee decided that it is content with the process that has been followed

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Task and finish group – community space The committee received the final report of the task and finish group	The scrutiny committee recommend the task and finish group findings to the cabinet and relevant lead officers	The next stage of the process will be for the t&fg report to be presented for cabinet consideration
Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
Role of the ward councillor To review the role of a ward councillor with in the new operating model and the opportunities provided by the council's neighbourhood model. To make recommendations on the role of a ward councillor.	In recognising that the role of the councillor was evolving, the scrutiny committee wished to look at this item again as part of next year's work programme, once the new neighbourhood operating model had been further evolved.	Now on the list of items to be considered for inclusion on to the 2013 – 2014 scrutiny committee work programme.

Scrutiny topic	Scrutiny request	Outcome
LGSS, and the revenues and benefits service This was to perform a year on look at the performance of LGSS and a progress report on the revenues and benefits service. This was a chance to identify any areas for improvement and to note successes	That members of the scrutiny committee visit the benefits service to see work in progress, and this to inform consideration of, a report on the revenues and benefits service later in the year to review the progress of the improvement plan; the response to welfare reform and universal credit and consider a case study.	A visit will be arranged
Scrutiny topic Welfare reform and housing benefit changes To assess the implications of benefit capping and social housing under occupancy rules that come into force in April 2013 and to look at any outcomes available from the universal credit pilots	Scrutiny request To agree the scope/areas of the scrutiny of welfare reform at a workshop arranged for the purpose before the work programme setting meeting of the scrutiny committee Ask members to make a note of any "door-step" issues that arise and feed back to the scrutiny officer.	Outcome A workshop for scrutiny members has been arranged for 6 June 2013 for members to look at the areas of welfare reform that the scrutiny process may best be able to impact.

Minutes and reports of the scrutiny committee meetings can be found on the council's web-site at <u>http://www.norwich.gov.uk/CommitteeMeetings</u>

Task & finish group –community space

Membership of the task & finish group; Councillors Galvin, Gee, Sands and Storie.

The task and finish group was set up by the scrutiny committee in December 2011 to look at the distribution, social benefit and the council's role in the provision of community space. The task & finish group reported its findings and recommendations to the scrutiny committee in March 2013.

Before presenting its findings to the scrutiny committee, the task & finish group held a briefing for the organising committees of the centres. Attendees broadly supported the findings and enthusiastically took on the idea of closer liaison and the sharing of skills between the centres towards improving resilience, support, training and effectiveness.

It is expected that the findings will be considered by the cabinet in the summer and may be used in the development of future work that the council might undertake in relation to community space provision.

The task and finish group felt as a result of the review the purpose of community centres was;

"Community centres, provide space and facilities for community led services and activities that contribute to the health and well being of communities. Centres along side other community groups and activities, some of which are located in these buildings, are an extremely important resource and can play an important role in the social and economic regeneration of the city and contribution to the corporate priorities of a safe and clean city; a prosperous city and a city of character and culture. These venues are where local residents can meet, organise community activities or events and where statutory agencies can provide easy access to services."

In conclusion of the review the members of the task & finish group outlined seven broad suggestions and recommendation areas:

- Federation and collaboration
- Accountability and management
- Training and mentoring
- The council's role
- Strategic development
- Environmental audit
- Communication.

The report can be found on the council's website http://www.norwich.gov.uk/CommitteeMeetings

Development and the role of scrutiny looking forward.

Onward the local government picture looks challenging with funding uncertainties that are likely to impact local government service delivery. The scrutiny role will become even more focused on ensuring the continuation of good and efficient public service delivery and methods, in line with the council's aims and objectives.

The other major impact for local government and the residents is the welfare reform that is now underway. In the year ahead, the scrutiny committee work programme is more than likely to reflect this along with the continuation of funding and the implications for services that the council and its partners deliver for the public.

The members of the scrutiny committee will be taking part in a training event in June with the purpose being to assist with the committee's development and to build on earlier training initiatives.

Having taken part in the training the aim is that members will be further enabled to:

- Recognise the complementary strengths of the individuals that make up the scrutiny committee and the benefits of effective team working
- Understand prepared papers and be confident in identifying areas for further probing and investigation
- Use a range of questioning and investigative techniques to enable them to get to the 'heart of the issue' under scrutiny
- Shape effective conclusions and recommendations from scrutiny work
- Think strategically and creatively in assessing the links between areas of review, partners and stakeholders

Public involvement and membership of the scrutiny committee

The scrutiny committee is politically balanced and is made up of councillors from all three of the political parties of the council. Only non – cabinet members can be on the committee and this allows those councillors to have an active role in the council's decision making process.

Meetings of the scrutiny committee are usually as informal as possible and as well as scrutiny members, are attended by cabinet portfolio members, officers, partners and anyone else who can assist with the work and provide evidence for reviews.

Members of the public are also welcome to attend the scrutiny committee meetings and can participate at the discretion of the committee's Chair. If you do wish to participate regarding an agenda item at a scrutiny meeting you are requested to contact the committee officer who will liaise with the Chair of the committee and the scrutiny officer. Any questions for the committee have to be received no later than 10.00 am on the day before the meeting but in order for you to obtain a thorough answer it would be helpful if you could contact us as early as possible.

Members of the scrutiny committee for 2013 - 2014

Councillors; Stephenson – Chair, Bradford, Brimblecombe, Brociek – Coulton, Carlo, Galvin, Grenville, Howard, Lubbock, Manning, Maxwell, Sands (S), Storie.

Getting in touch with scrutiny

If you are a member of the public and wish to find out more about the scrutiny process and the committee or if you have any queries regarding this Annual Review, please feel free to contact the council's scrutiny officer;

stevegoddard@norwich.gov.uk

01603 212491

If you have any topic suggestions for scrutiny please use the form attached over this page and send it to the above email address or hand it in at the council's reception – for the attention of the scrutiny officer.

To contact the committee officer please phone 01603 212416

The Centre for Public Scrutiny promotes the value of scrutiny and accountability in modern and effective government and supports non-executives in their scrutiny role. http://www.cfps.org.uk/



If you would like this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact the committee officer by phone 01603 212416 or email: <u>jennywilson-copp@norwich.gov.uk</u> or lucygreen@norwich.gov.uk

Request form to raise an item for Scrutiny Review

Councillors should be asked to carry out the following scrutiny review:

Please give your reasons (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Name:

Address:

Daytime Tel No

Email:

Signature

Date

Please return this form to Steve Goddard, Scrutiny Officer, Norwich City Council, City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich NR2 1NH Email: <u>stevegoddard@norwich.gov.uk</u>