

Audit committee

Date: Tuesday, 05 September 2017

Time: 16:30

Venue: Mancroft room, City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich, NR2 1NH

Committee members:

Councillors:

Price (chair)
Driver (vice chair)
Bradford
Bremner
Jones (B)
Lubbock
Maxwell
Schmierer

For further information please contact:

Committee officer: Jackie Rodger
t: (01603) 212033
e: jackierodger@norwich.gov.uk

Democratic services
City Hall
Norwich
NR2 1NH

www.norwich.gov.uk

Informal briefing

There will be an informal members' briefing on IT security facilitated by Steve Day, Head of IT (LGSS) at 16:00 in the Mancroft room

Information for members of the public

Members of the public and the media have the right to attend meetings of full council, the cabinet and committees except where confidential information or exempt information is likely to be disclosed, and the meeting is therefore held in private.

For information about attending or speaking at meetings, please contact the committee officer above or refer to the council's website



If you would like this agenda in an alternative format, such as a larger or smaller font, audio or Braille, or in a different language, please contact the committee officer above.

Agenda

	Page nos
5 Approval of Council's Financial Statements 2016-17	
5(a) Annual Governance Statement 2016-17	3 - 26

Purpose - To review and approve the final audited version of the annual governance statement for 2016-17.

5(b) Extraordinary meeting - Audit Results Report 2016-17
(c) and Statement of Accounts 2016-17

Due to delays with the completion of the audit, it is not possible to present the Audit Results Report 2016-17 or the Statement of Accounts 2016-17 to this meeting. Therefore members are recommended to agree to hold an extraordinary meeting on Tuesday, 26 September 2017 at 16:30 to consider these reports.

Date of publication: **Friday, 01 September 2017**

Report to Audit Committee
 5 September 2017
Report of Chief Internal Auditor, LGSS
Subject Annual Governance Statement 2016-17

Item
5(a)

Purpose

To review and approve the final audited version of the Annual Governance Statement for 2016-17.

Recommendation

To approve the Annual Governance Statement for 2016-17.

Corporate and service priorities

The report helps to meet the corporate priority Value for money services

Ward/s: All wards

Cabinet member: Councillor Kendrick – Resources

Contact officers

Duncan Wilkinson, Chief Internal Auditor	01908 252089
Neil Hunter, Head of Internal Audit	01223 715317
Jonathan Tully, Principal Audit Manager	01603 212575

Background documents

None.

Report

Background

1. The Audit and Account Regulations 2015 requires the council to produce an Annual Governance Statement (AGS). It summarises the extent to which the Council is complying with its Code of Corporate Governance and details, as appropriate, any significant actions needed to improve the governance arrangements in the year ahead.
2. The draft AGS is considered by the corporate leadership team, and then presented to the audit committee in order to ensure that it reasonably reflects the committee's knowledge and experience of the council's governance and controls. It accompanies the draft statement of accounts, and may subsequently be amended following review by the external auditor (EY). The final statement is signed by the chief executive and the Leader of the Council and approved by the audit committee.
3. At its meeting on 20 June 2017. the audit committee approved the draft AGS.
4. EY have reviewed the document and suggested a minor amendment. It is good practice to reflect developments up to the date the final version is approved, for example where planned meetings have now occurred, and the AGS has been updated where appropriate. Updates have been highlighted in the report using tracked changes.
5. The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 require that the final version of the AGS is approved by the audit committee in advance of the Statement of Accounts.

The Annual Governance Statement

6. The AGS has been compiled using sources of evidence, including:
 - A review of the extent to which the council has complied with each element of its Code of Corporate Governance;
 - A review and re-drafting of the council's Code of Corporate Governance itself, based on the CIPFA/SOLACE Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework 2016.
 - Self-assurance statements prepared by heads of service;
 - The Chief Internal Auditor's opinion on the council's internal control environment, which was reported to the audit committee on 20 June 2017.
7. The Statement is prepared in accordance with guidance from the Chartered Institute of Public Finance (CIPFA) and the Society of Local Authority Chief Executives (SOLACE). The guidance states that the AGS should include:
 - The council's responsibilities for ensuring a sound system of governance;
 - An assessment of the effectiveness of key elements of the governance framework, and the role of those responsible for the development and maintenance of the governance environment;
 - An opinion on the level of assurance that the governance arrangements can provide and whether these continue to be regarded as fit for purpose;

- The identification of any significant governance issues, and an agreed action plan showing actions taken, or proposed, to deal with significant governance issues;
- Reference to how issues raised in the previous year's Statement have been resolved;
- A conclusion demonstrating a commitment to monitoring implementation through the next annual review.

8. 'Significant governance issues' are those that:

- seriously prejudice or prevent achievement of a principal objective of the authority;
- have resulted in the need to seek additional funding to allow it to be resolved, or has resulted in significant diversion of resources from another aspect of the business;
- have led to a material impact on the accounts;
- the audit committee advises should be considered significant for this purpose;
- the chief internal auditor reports on as significant in the annual opinion on the internal control environment;
- have attracted significant public interest or have seriously damaged the reputation of the organisation; or
- have resulted in formal action being undertaken by the Chief Financial Officer and / or the Monitoring Officer.

Conclusions

9. The AGS has been prepared, in accordance with professional guidance, and accompanies the Statement of Accounts. The audit committee approved the draft AGS on 20 June 2017. It has also been reviewed by the external auditors, and signed by the Chief Executive and the Leader of the Council.
10. The process demonstrates good governance, it has been based on various sources of assurance, and the committee is asked to approve the final AGS.



Annual Governance Statement 2016-17

1. Scope of responsibility

- 1.1. Norwich City Council is responsible for ensuring that its business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively. Norwich City Council also has a duty under the Local Government Act 1999 to make arrangements to secure continuous improvement in the way in which its functions are exercised, having regard to a combination of economy, efficiency and effectiveness.
- 1.2. In discharging this overall responsibility, Norwich City Council is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for the governance of its affairs, facilitating the effective exercise of its functions, and which includes arrangements for the management of risk.
- 1.3. Norwich City Council has approved and adopted a code of governance which is consistent with the principles of the CIPFA/ SOLACE Framework *Delivering Good Governance in Local Government*. The code forms appendix 19 of the council's constitution which is on the council website at www.norwich.gov.uk.
- 1.4. This statement explains how Norwich City Council has complied with the principles of the code and also meets the requirements of regulation 6 (1) of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 which requires all relevant bodies to prepare an annual governance statement.
- 1.5. In April 2012 the council transferred the ICT and finance functions to LGSS, a public sector partnership between Northamptonshire and Cambridgeshire county councils. The arrangement is covered by a service level agreement.
- 1.6. Under the arrangement, some of the roles which the annual governance statement refers to are now carried out by officers from LGSS, as follows:
 - An appropriately qualified and experienced finance officer at LGSS is the council's chief finance officer and s151 officer.
 - The LGSS chief internal auditor is responsible for internal audit and reports to audit committee. The fraud team that was based at City Hall, dealing primarily with benefits fraud, transferred to the Department for Work and Pensions' Single Fraud Investigation Service (SFIS) on 1 April 2015. Counter fraud work required by the council is referred to the LGSS counter fraud team working to the LGSS chief internal auditor.



2. The purpose of the governance framework

- 2.1. The governance framework comprises the systems and processes, and culture and values, by which the council is directed and controlled and its activities through which it accounts to, engages with, and leads its communities. It enables the council to monitor the achievement of its strategic objectives and to consider whether those objectives have led to the delivery of appropriate services and value for money.
- 2.2. The system of internal control is a significant part of that framework and is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level. It cannot eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives and can therefore only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance of effectiveness. The system of internal control is based on an ongoing process designed to identify and prioritise the risks to the achievement of Norwich City Council's policies, aims and objectives, to evaluate the likelihood and potential impact of those risks being realised, and to manage them efficiently, effectively and economically.
- 2.3. The governance framework has been in place at Norwich City Council for the year ended 31 March 2017 and up to the date of the approval of this statement.

3. The governance framework

The council's Code of Governance recognises that effective governance is achieved through the following core principles:

- Behaving with integrity, demonstrating strong commitment to ethical values, and respecting the rule of law.
- Ensuring openness and comprehensive stakeholder engagement
- Defining outcomes in terms of sustainable economic, social, and environmental benefits
- Determining the interventions necessary to optimise the achievement of the intended outcomes
- Developing the entity's capacity, including the capability of its leadership and the individuals within it
- Managing risks and performance through robust internal control and strong public financial management
- Implementing good practices in transparency, reporting, and audit to deliver effective accountability

4. Key elements of the Governance Framework

The following is a brief description of the key elements of the systems and processes that comprise the council's governance arrangements:

1. Developing codes of conduct which define standards of behaviour for members and staff, and policies dealing with whistleblowing and conflicts of interest and that these codes and policies are communicated effectively:

- Under the Localism Act 2011 the new standards regime, including the members' code of conduct, was adopted by council on 19 June 2012.
- There is a separate code of conduct for employees, which is supported by HR policies and procedures. New employees are given a copy of the code of conduct and other key policies, and there are regular reminders regarding compliance with the policies. Employees are required to confirm that they have read the code of conduct and other key policies.

2. Ensuring compliance with relevant laws and regulations, internal policies and procedures, and that expenditure is lawful:

- The monitoring officer is responsible for advising whether decisions of the cabinet are in accordance with the policy and budget framework.
- In relation to an executive function, the monitoring officer and chief finance officer has responsibility for ensuring that all proposals, decisions and actions incurring expenditure were lawful.
- Corporate policies and strategies, which are subject to regular review, are available on the council intranet. Employees are required to confirm that they have read key policies relating to conduct, security and certain personnel matters.
- Managers within the council are responsible for putting in place systems of control to ensure compliance with policies, procedures, laws and regulations. This is a key control and as such each year heads of service are asked to conduct a self-assessment of the systems of internal control within their services and highlight actions intended to address any areas for improvement.
- In addition key partners of the council, who manage council budgets, are also asked to complete external assurance statements. LGSS have provided assurance, across a range of governance areas, to support the AGS.

3. Documenting a commitment to openness and acting in the public interest:

- Progress on delivering the Corporate Plan is communicated through a performance management framework. The Scrutiny Committee receives regular reports on performance against the Corporate Plan in addition to matters referred to it by Cabinet. In order to demonstrate its openness the Authority also publishes:
 - Constitution
 - Council, Cabinet and Committee Reports
 - Scheme of delegation reports



- Various transparency reports, such as Pay Policy Statement and Payments over £500

4. Establishing clear channels of communication with all sections of the community and other stakeholders, ensuring accountability and encouraging open consultation:

- The council is part of Your Voice, a partnership of local organisations which enables anyone to sign up and have their say on services through consultations, surveys, focus groups and workshops, to name a few.
- The council's Customer first guidance for staff is intended to ensure that everything the council produces and sends out is easy for everyone to understand. It is supported by the Communications strategy and Communications handbook which helps staff to deal with communications issues.
- Residents are informed about the council's activities at all times. This is done through Citizen, the quarterly magazine for residents; work with the local media; the council website; social media and other channels. Council tenants also receive their own magazine, TLC, focusing on issues affecting them.
- Tenants have a range of ways to be involved and these are detailed on the tenant involvement page of the council website. There is a clear framework with formal group structures for tenants and leaseholders, including seven active tenant and resident associations.
- In addition, a range of other options allows tenants to be involved at a level that suits them. These consist of the 1,200 tenant and leaseholder TalkBack panel used for surveys and focus groups, tenant inspectors, involvement in estate walkabouts and mystery shoppers. Proactive work by the tenant involvement team means that events and road shows are regularly held to encourage more tenants to be involved or simply give their views on services they receive.
- Any public consultations that are planned for the year are included in service plans. All consultations are co-ordinated by the council's business management group and reviewed on a quarterly basis.
- Information on current and closed consultations, including reports and minutes, is available on the council website.

5. Developing and communicating a vision which specifies intended outcomes for citizens and service users and is used as a basis for planning:

- The council has a clear vision of what it is trying to achieve, as set out in its corporate plan 2015-2020, which forms the council's overarching policy framework.
- The changing pace council blueprint (operating model) has been developed as a guide for how Norwich City Council designs services and structures to deliver the vision and priorities within its corporate plan in a way that proactively addresses the financial pressures and changing policy and legislative environment it faces.
- Details of all the above, together with any committee reports referred to in this statement, can be found on the council website at www.norwich.gov.uk
- The corporate plan sets out the city council's strategic direction including its vision, mission and priorities. A new corporate plan 2015-2020 was approved by

council on 17 February 2015. The plan is reviewed regularly and an updated version was approved by Council on 21st Feb 2017.

- The corporate plan was developed through a number of methods including:
 - Analysing information on levels of need in the city such as looking at demographics, strengths, opportunities, inequalities and challenges.
 - Assessing the current environment the council operates in, including the national and local economic climate and policy and legislation for local government.
 - Looking at the potential future factors that may impact on Norwich and the council e.g. economic, social, environmental etc.
 - Discussions with councillors including an all councillor workshop.
 - Specific discussions with partner organisations
 - Assessing the future resourcing likely to be available to deliver a new corporate plan.
 - Formal review by scrutiny and cabinet.
- In line with the approach used previously a consultation was carried out on the draft corporate plan framework for 2015-2020 with citizens and organisations.
- The medium term financial strategy (MTFS) is presented to council on an annual basis to support the budget papers and the corporate plan. The MTFS sets out the level of savings that need to be achieved in the coming and each of the following four years. Savings plans and income generation targets are developed to achieve the budget requirement set out in the MTFS. An annual consultation on the budget is also undertaken. The delivery of the corporate priorities is managed through service plans for each service area and monitored through the council's performance management and reporting system.

6. Translating the vision into courses of action for the authority, its partnerships and collaborations:

- The council's five priorities are to make Norwich a safe, clean and low carbon city; a prosperous and vibrant city; a fair city; a healthy city with good housing; and to provide value for money services.
- The corporate plan is underpinned by a range of strategic and operational plans, which set out in more detail how the council's vision and priorities will be delivered. These plans contain more specific targets, which are allocated to teams, contractors, partners and employees to deliver.
- Service plans are reviewed every year in line with the changes to the corporate plan priorities and in accordance with the development of the budget to ensure the necessary resources are in place for their delivery.
- The corporate plan 2015-2020 also links closely to the council's risk management strategy and corporate risk register. The council has a comprehensive approach to risk management which ensures all strategic risks are appropriately identified, managed and mitigated against.



7. Reviewing the effectiveness of the authority's decision-making framework, including delegation arrangements, decision making in partnerships and robustness of data quality:

- The council's decision making framework is set out in the council's constitution including an effective scheme of delegation. The council's constitution is kept under continuous review in line with best practice, with a clear review plan, supported by a corporate governance group consisting of the executive head of business relationship management and democracy, monitoring officer, chief finance officer (section 151 officer), head of HR and learning and local LGSS audit manager. There is also a cross-party constitution working party - where major changes are proposed by the corporate governance group these are considered by the constitution working party before being recommended to council for approval.
- Decision making arrangements in partnerships are guided by the council's comprehensive corporate governance framework and toolkit for partnership working which ensures that effective governance and risk management arrangements are in place. In line with this, all key partnerships have been identified and are included in the council's partnership register. The governance arrangements for key partnerships are kept under regular review and the results are reported to cabinet annually, together with an assessment of the effectiveness of the council's involvement in partnerships.

8. Measuring the performance of services and related projects and ensuring that they are delivered in accordance with defined outcomes and that they represent the best use of resources and value for money:

- Performance management in the council is based on corporate plan priorities supported by a strategic management framework. The plan has a number of priorities and key performance measures and the service and team planning process is designed to explicitly reflect these priorities. The corporate plan is underpinned by service plans which set out how the top priorities will be delivered, and by operational delivery plans which set out practical steps and performance measures for all teams. Portfolio holders have been brought into the service planning process, and are required to sign off service plans with the relevant service managers.
- The council uses an electronic performance management system which supports the performance management regime by holding high level indicators, risks and actions used to deliver the 2015-2020 corporate plan and supporting plans (service plans). Each service has a high level dashboard charting progress against their service plan priorities. Dashboards showing performance for each cabinet portfolio are also produced for portfolio holders. This approach is used to strengthen performance reporting processes to the cabinet, scrutiny, corporate leadership team and all managers. Performance is reported monthly to portfolio holders, quarterly to cabinet and twice-yearly to scrutiny.
- The council is a member of HouseMark, which is the main benchmarking organisation for social housing. Norwich is a major subscriber and also a member of HouseMark clubs dealing with welfare reform and ASB issues comparing and shaping good practice. The council is also a founder / board member of ARCH (Association of Retained Council Housing) which promotes council housing and shares good practice through the exchange of ideas and seminars. The council



also has active tenancy scrutiny and involvement panels which enable tenants to be involved with contract monitoring and procurement.

- A summary of the overall performance of the council in 2016-17 is included in the narrative report to the statement of accounts for the year ending 31 March 2017.
- Change and transformation within the council is managed through the council's transformation programme guided by its changing pace blueprint (operating model) to ensure the council meets its savings targets while continuing to improve services wherever possible. This approach is supported by a range of tools such as the council's organisational change toolkit to ensure staffing changes are carried out effectively, and its project management toolkit to ensure the effective delivery of projects.
- The transformation programme is kept under regular review by the corporate leadership team and business management group (involving all the heads of service) with regular briefings for the leader and portfolio holders and major change proposals being formally approved by Cabinet and Council as appropriate. There is also a cross party working group which discusses and informs change options on a cross-party basis prior to them reaching the formal proposal stage.
- The council has received significant external recognition for its approach to managing change, transformation and organisational improvement.
- The council won the Gold Award for 'Council of the Year' in the Improvement and Efficiency Awards 2014 and the 'Most Improved Council Award' in the Local Government Chronicle (LGC) awards 2014. It was also a finalist in the Municipal Journal's 'Best Achieving Council' award 2015 and in the LGC 'Council of the Year' award 2016.

9. Defining and documenting the roles and responsibilities of members and management, with clear protocols for effective communication in respect of the authority and partnership arrangements:

- The council's constitution sets out how the council operates, and contains separate articles and appendices covering executive, non-executive, scrutiny and officer functions. In addition, there are separate appendices covering the scheme of delegations to officers, the protocol for member/officer working arrangements, and protocols for the chief finance officer and monitoring officer.
- There is also an agreed protocol between the leader and chief executive officer covering their working arrangements.
- The council has a corporate governance framework for working in partnerships, with significant partnerships and joint ventures such as those with LGSS and NPS Norwich being covered by service level agreements.



10. Ensuring that financial management arrangements conform with the governance requirements of the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Chief Financial Officer in Local Government (2015) and, where they do not, explain why and how they deliver the same impact.):

- The role of the chief finance officer (CFO) and the finance function are sourced through a partnership and delegation agreement with LGSS, a public sector shared services organisation.
- The governance requirements of the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Chief Financial Officer in Local Government (2015) are embedded within the agreement and performance against these requirements is regularly monitored to ensure compliance. The council and LGSS work together to continually improve financial management practices and processes to deliver sound financial governance.

11. Ensuring effective arrangements are in place for the discharge of the monitoring officer function.:

- The monitoring officer is a statutory appointment under section 5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. The current responsibilities of the monitoring officer's and the deputy monitoring officer's roles rest with nominated officers at nplaw, the council's shared legal service. They undertake to discharge their statutory responsibilities with a positive determination and in a manner that enhances the overall reputation of the council. In doing so they will also safeguard, so far as is possible, members and officers whilst acting in their official capacities, from legal difficulties and/or criminal sanctions.
- It is important that members and officers work together to promote good governance within the council. The monitoring officer plays a key role in this and it is vital therefore, that members and officers work with the monitoring officer to enable them to discharge their statutory responsibilities and other duties (as set out in article 12 of the council's constitution).
- There are working arrangements and understandings in place between the monitoring officer, members and the corporate leadership team which are designed to ensure the effective discharge of the council's business and functions. These arrangements are detailed in the monitoring officer protocol, which currently forms appendix 9B of the council's constitution.

12. Ensuring effective arrangements are in place for the discharge of the head of paid service function:

- The role of head of paid service is defined in the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. In Norwich City Council it is assigned to the chief executive as set out in appendix 8 of the constitution and all necessary powers are delegated to her to fulfil the statutory role. Article 12 of the constitution requires the head of paid service to determine and publicise a description of the overall departmental structure of the Council showing the management structure and deployment of officers.
- The head of paid service, despite having all the necessary authority to take delegated staffing decisions, has chosen to exercise her discretion on a number of occasions and has reported to cabinet on changes to the senior management structure or on significant changes to the organisation's structure as an aid to



transparency. These proposals are discussed at the corporate leadership team and proposed to cabinet. All cabinet papers are circulated to all members. The council's senior management structure is set out in appendix 17 of the constitution and publicised on the council's web site.

- The council is also required to provide the head of paid service with staff, accommodation and other resources sufficient to enable the performance of the function. In Norwich City Council, the annual budget proposed to council by cabinet, prepared by officers, seeks to align the provision of council resources with the delivery of the corporate plan. In this manner, the head of paid service is ensuring that the council is fulfilling its duty. During the year, any proposals that are made to significantly alter the manner of service delivery, to reduce or enhance a service, sets out the staffing and resource implications for that proposal. This is standardised in committee report formats to ensure that all relevant matters are considered when proposals are made. All cabinet papers are subject to scrutiny.
- A review (or appraisal) of the chief executive's performance is undertaken each year. The process is managed by an independent individual and takes account of the views of the Leader, cabinet and each opposition leader about how the chief executive has discharged all of her functions in relation to the role. There are also informal opportunities throughout the year for the adequacy of the chief executives performance to be discussed e.g. at weekly leader meetings and monthly meetings of group leaders.

13. Providing induction and identifying the development needs of members and senior officers in relation to their strategic roles, supported by appropriate training.

- The cross-party councillors' development group sets the strategic and policy direction for all aspects of councillor development which includes:
 - promoting the development of members
 - developing, monitoring and evaluating the councillors training and development programme
 - supporting and encouraging councillors in maintaining the charter for member development, including personal development planning.
- A full programme of training and development has been agreed by the group including a monthly schedule of both training sessions and briefings.
- Managers have a portfolio of learning and development available to them which is designed to develop their skills and to support achievement of the organisation's priorities. The Changing PACE values provide the overarching framework for development and include behaviours expected from all employees. There is an employee performance review which provides individual and team objectives and through which learning and development needs for all employees and managers are identified. A corporate learning and development plan is created to support employees in line with current and future needs.



14. Reviewing the effectiveness of the framework for identifying and managing risks and for performance and demonstrating clear accountability:

- The council has a risk management policy and a risk management strategy, which have been approved by cabinet and are published to the council website.
- The council's corporate risk register is the result of continued review by managers, corporate leadership team and audit committee of the key risks that may have an impact on achieving the council's objectives. Each risk shows the owner and the key controls in place to minimise any impact on the council and its provision of services to stakeholders. Individual projects and partnerships are also subject to risk assessments.
- The council's risk management policy was updated, approved by Cabinet in January 2017 and is available via the website.
- Service risks are included in service plans and are reviewed by departmental management teams. Any risks that are considered to be of a corporate nature are escalated to the corporate leadership team for possible inclusion in the corporate risk register.
- The council has implemented a performance management system which includes risk management, which enables corporate and service risks to be recorded and monitored by management.
- The council has a corporate business continuity plan for the effective management of business continuity issues, in order to ensure the continued delivery of services. Both business continuity and the management of major contracts are included in the corporate risk register. An updated business continuity policy and framework was approved by Cabinet on 25 June 2014.

15. Ensuring effective counter fraud and anti-corruption arrangements are developed and maintained in accordance with the Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption (CIPFA, 2014).

- The council has recently reviewed its counter fraud arrangements, reflecting professional guidance and good practice, and has published revised anti-fraud and corruption, whistleblowing and anti-money laundering policies.
- The policies are promoted to employees, and are available on both the intranet and website. Employees are required to confirm that they have read these.
- For the public there is also a complaints procedure which can be accessed via the council website, plus an online form for reporting all types of suspected fraud.
- Under the partnership and delegation agreement, in 2016-17 LGSS provided a dedicated fraud team to investigate all alleged frauds perpetrated against the council. The team includes a qualified financial investigator who has the power to initiate recovery proceedings under the Proceeds of Crime Act.
- The council fully participates in the Cabinet Office's regular national fraud initiatives (NFI) and regularly reports the results to audit committee.

16. Ensuring an effective scrutiny function is in place.

- The council's scrutiny committee through its work programme regularly carries out work that involves reviewing the performance and effectiveness of other public service providers as well as the council. A member of the council's



scrutiny committee is a member of the Norfolk health scrutiny committee and provides regular updates on their work to the council's scrutiny committee.

- ~~A member of the council~~ ~~The council's Deputy Leader~~ is also a member of the Norfolk Health and Wellbeing Board and inputs into the progression of the Norfolk Health and Wellbeing Strategy.
- ~~A member of the council~~ ~~The council's portfolio holder with responsibility for community safety~~ is also a member of the police and crime panel, and a member of the council's scrutiny committee is a member of the Norfolk community safety partnership scrutiny panel ~~committee and to~~ provides regular updates on their work to the council's scrutiny committee. The council's chief executive also chairs the Norfolk Community Safety Partnership.

17. Ensuring that assurance arrangements conform with the governance requirements of the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit (2010) and, where they do not, explain why and how they deliver the same impact.:

- In line with the partnership and delegation agreement, the internal audit for 2016-17 was provided by LGSS internal audit and is led by a professionally qualified head of internal audit in accordance with the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit in Public Service Organisations, Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and the Local Government Application Note.

18. Undertaking the core functions of an audit committee, as identified in Audit Committees: Practical Guidance for Local Authorities and Police (CIPFA, 2013):

- The council has an audit committee with terms of reference and supporting procedure rules covering internal and external audit, risk management, annual statement of accounts, corporate governance and internal control arrangements, and anti-fraud and corruption arrangements. The terms of reference were reviewed in March 2014 in line with the latest CIPFA guidance and can be found in article 17 of the council's constitution.

19. Ensuring that the authority provides timely support, information and responses to external auditors and properly considers audit findings and recommendations.

- The council provides support and information to the externally appointed auditors (Ernst & Young). Audit findings and recommendations are reported through the Audit Committee.

20. Incorporating good governance arrangements in respect of partnerships and other joint working and ensuring that they are reflected across the authority's overall governance structures.

- The council demonstrates a strong commitment to working in partnership with other agencies to deliver priority outcomes and ensure that this partnership activity provides value for money and added value.
- All key partnerships have been identified and are included in the partnership register. A corporate governance framework and toolkit has been developed for use by all key partnerships, to ensure that effective governance and risk management arrangements are in place.



- The governance arrangements for key partnerships are kept under review and the results are reported to cabinet annually, together with an assessment of the effectiveness of the council's involvement in partnerships

5. Review of effectiveness

- 5.1. Norwich City Council has responsibility for conducting, at least annually, a review of the effectiveness of its governance framework including the system of internal control. The review of effectiveness is informed by the work of the directors within the council who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the governance environment, the LGSS Chief Internal Auditors annual report, and also by comments made by the external auditors and other review agencies and inspectorates.
- 5.2. The following is a brief description of the roles and processes that have been applied in evaluating the effectiveness of the governance framework:

1. The council and cabinet

- In February 2015 the council approved the new corporate plan 2015-2020, which is reviewed each year in line with the medium term financial strategy and in parallel to the development of the budget for the following year to ensure the necessary resources are in place for its delivery. This was updated in 2017, with changes to the key performance measures, which had been agreed by cabinet and the scrutiny committee. The council will continue to engage with stakeholders to inform the setting of corporate priorities beyond 2017-18, reflecting the changing landscape of local government finance and emerging opportunities and challenges for Norwich.
- The cabinet approves the medium term financial strategy which provides the financial structure for the policy and budget framework, corporate planning, annual service planning and budget setting.
- During 2016-17 the cabinet continued with its approach to developing the future priorities and shape of the organisation to meet the council's savings requirements.
- Council approved financial documents including the Four Year Sustainability Plan, the Council Tax Resolution Scheme, the General Fund Revenue budget, the Housing Rents and Budgets, and the Treasury Management Strategy.
- Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 requires that every local authority shall make arrangements for the proper administration of their financial affairs and shall secure that one of their officers has responsibility for the administration of those affairs. Council considered and delegated the decision to appoint a S151 & Chief Finance Officer to the Chief Executive and the Leader.
- Council considered the appointment of Independent Persons for the Council as per the standards framework to be compliant with the Localism act 2011.
- Following the decision made at full council, the Cabinet resolved to not participate in the Norfolk and Suffolk devolution agreement, with the process to establish an elected mayor and combined authority for the East Anglia region.
- Cabinet approved key strategies and policies such as the Procurement Strategy 2016-2020, and the Risk Management Policy. Cabinet approved that all appropriate mitigation had been taken for risks which exceeded the Councils risk appetite.



- Cabinet approved business plans, including joint ventures for NPS Norwich Limited, Norwich Norse Building Limited and Norwich Norse Environmental Limited, plus Norwich Regeneration Ltd and the Norwich and Homes and Communities Agency (HCA) strategic partnership.
- Quarterly performance monitoring reports are presented to scrutiny committee and cabinet – cabinet also receives budget monitoring reports. Performance monitoring reports during 2016-17 covered achievement against the council's detailed priority actions and performance measures detailed in the corporate plan 2015-20.
- The council's constitution working party recommends to cabinet and council any changes to the constitution.

2. The scrutiny committee

- The overview and scrutiny function is exercised by the scrutiny committee. Procedure rules and terms of reference include the general remit to maintain an overview of the discharge of the council's executive functions and the right to review council policies. Reviews also include delivery of the corporate plan, through performance reports.
- The statutory annual report on the work of scrutiny committee in 2016-17 was presented to scrutiny committee on 6 April 2017 and ~~will be presented~~was presented to Council on 27 June 2017.

3. The audit committee

- The council has an audit committee with terms of reference which cover internal and external audit matters, risk management arrangements, corporate governance including internal control arrangements and the annual governance statement, anti-fraud and corruption arrangements, and the statement of accounts.
- The committee receives reports on corporate risks, the work of internal audit, including the LGSS head of internal audit's annual report, and external audit reports, letters and briefings. It also reviews and approves the annual governance statement.
- The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 introduced changes to the appointment process for external auditors. The committee reviewed options for appointing external auditors, and endorsed a recommendation to use PSAA Ltd, who was specified as the sector-led appointing body under the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015. This was recommended to cabinet and approved by full council.
- The committee reviewed counter fraud policies including anti-fraud and corruption, anti-money laundering, and whistleblowing. These were recommended and approved by cabinet.
- In line with good practice, the annual report on the work of the audit committee in 2016-17 ~~will be~~was discussed by the audit committee on 20 June 2017 and ~~will be~~was presented to ~~a future council~~on 18 July 2017. ~~The report for 2015-16 was presented to Council on 19 July 2016.~~



4. The standards committee and monitoring officer

- The council has a standards committee with terms of reference to promote and maintain high standards of conduct by members and co-opted members of the council and to assist members and co-opted members to observe the council's code of conduct.
- The standards committee is supported by the monitoring officer, whose duties include the promotion of ethics and standards across the council, maintaining the constitution, and ensuring compliance with relevant laws, regulations and policies. The monitoring officer is a statutory appointment, and the current responsibilities of this role rest with the nominated officer from npLaw.
- The monitoring officer's annual report supports the assurance statements included in the annual governance statement. It provides a review of the monitoring officer's work as part of the council's governance arrangements and system of internal control. The report covered the period April 2015 to June 2016 [April 2013](#) and was presented to the Standards Committee on 8 July 2016. This concluded that the systems of internal control administered by the monitoring officer, including the code of corporate governance and the council's constitution, were adequate and effective during the period covered by this report for the purposes of the latest regulations.

5. Chief finance officer

- The chief finance officer is a statutory appointment, and during 2016-17 the responsibilities of this role were sourced through the agreement with LGSS. Duties include the proper administration of the financial affairs of the council, contributing to the effective leadership of the council as member of the corporate leadership team, ensuring that expenditure is lawful and within resources, advising on systems of internal control, and supporting the audit committee.
- Under the partnership and delegation agreement the council and LGSS work together to continually improve financial management practices and processes to deliver sound financial governance. This is evidenced by the fact that the external auditors issued unqualified audit opinions on the financial statements and value for money conclusion each year from 2012-13 to 2015-16.

6. Internal audit

- The Council takes assurance about the effectiveness of the governance environment from the work of Internal Audit, which provides independent and objective assurance across the whole range of the Council's activities. It is the duty of the Chief Internal Auditor to give an opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control within the Council. This opinion has been used to inform the Annual Governance Statement.
- The Chief Internal Auditor's annual report will be presented to the Audit Committee in June 2017. This report will outline the key findings of the audit work undertaken during 2016/17, including areas of significant weakness in the internal control environment.
- From the audit reviews undertaken during 2016/17, no areas were identified where it was considered that, if the risks highlighted materialised, it would have a major impact on the organisation as a whole. In each instance where it has been identified that the control environment was not strong enough, or was not



complied with sufficiently to prevent risks to the organisation, Internal Audit has issued recommendations to further improve the system of control and compliance. Where these recommendations are considered to have significant impact on the system of internal control, the implementation of actions is followed-up by Internal Audit and is reported to audit committee.

- It is the opinion of the Chief Internal Auditor that, taking into account all available evidence, good assurance may be awarded over the adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's overall internal control environment during the financial year 2016/17, and this remains at a similar level from 2015/16. The detail to support this assessment will be provided in the Annual Internal Audit Report

7. Corporate governance group

- This is an internal officer group meeting every four months, which is chaired by the director of business services, and is responsible for reviewing all aspects of the council's governance arrangements. Other members of the group are the chief finance officer, monitoring officer, head of HR and Learning and local LGSS principal audit manager.

8. Other explicit review / assurance mechanisms

External audit

- Under the government's local public audit regime the Audit Commission awarded contracts for work previously carried out by the Commission's own audit practice. As a result Ernst & Young (now EY) became the appointed external auditor from 1 September 2012.
- EY's audit results report (ISA260) for 2015-16 was presented to audit committee on 20 September 2016. The annual audit letter 2015-16 was presented to audit committee on 22 November 2016. The annual report on the certification of claims and returns 2015-16 was presented to audit committee on 24 January 2017.
- For 2015-16 EY issued unqualified audit opinions on the financial statements, value for money conclusion and whole of government accounts. There were no significant risks identified other than the ongoing control weaknesses (e.g. regarding property, plant and equipment accounting records on the fixed assets register) which have previously been reported to audit committee.

Improvement and efficiency.

- The council has been on a significant journey of improvement over recent years which has been recognised by a number of awards including:
 - The Gold award for "Delivering through efficiency" in the iESE improvement and efficiency awards 2013.
 - Highly commended in the Local Government Chronicle awards 2013 where we came second in the Most Improved Council of the Year category.
 - Gold award for overall "Council of the Year" in the iESE improvement and efficiency awards 2014.
 - Local Government Chronicle Award for "Most Improved Council" 2014.
 - Selected as a finalist in the MJ Local Government Achievement Awards 2015, for 'Best Achieving Council'.



- The council was also selected as a finalist in the Local Government Chronicle 'Council of the Year' award 2016.
- In addition, during 2015, iESE undertook a corporate health check of the council, and a review of efficiency was undertaken by Newton Europe, both with positive results.



6. Governance issues and actions

- 6.1. We have been advised on the implications of the result of the review of the effectiveness of the governance framework by the audit committee, and that the arrangements continue to be regarded as fit for purpose in accordance with the governance framework.
- 6.2. The following is an outline of the significant issues arising from the review of effectiveness and the actions taken or proposed to deal with them (committee reports where mentioned, and minutes, can be found at www.norwich.gov.uk):

External auditors annual letter 2015-16

- EY's annual audit letter was presented to audit committee on 22 November 2016. EY issued an unqualified audit opinion on the council's financial statements, and an unqualified value for money conclusion, which is a positive report for the Council.
- Some significant risks were highlighted in the financial statements audit: weaknesses in the spreadsheets used as a fixed asset register, which could lead to material misstatements; pension valuation and disclosures; the risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition; and the risk of management override to perpetrate fraud. In these cases, following review and testing, EY did not identify any material misstatements.
- The value for money conclusion was unqualified, but EY highlighted the significant financial challenges facing the council in the next three to four years. The main areas of uncertainty are future levels of business rates income, new homes bonus and government funding. EY acknowledged that the council has a good track record of achieving savings, that the Council had secured greater certainty by opting into a four year funding settlement, and the level of general fund reserves reduce the risk of not achieving its budgets over the medium term. The Council needs to continue to review the delivery of identified savings plans and the MTFS should be updated to take account of this delivery. These matters were reported to the budget meeting of the Council on 21 February 2017.

Internal audit assurance reviews

- Internal Audit completed a review of Capital Contracts which resulted in a "limited assurance" opinion, due to concerns with the governance arrangements between NPS and the Council. The Council was prompt to respond to this, has delivered partnership workshops, and is reviewing their financial procedures to improve financial and performance monitoring in this area.
- The following audits resulted in "moderate assurance", further details of which were ~~are~~ included in the head of internal audit's annual audit report:
 - Agency Staff
 - Travel & Subsistence



Emerging legislation

- The Information Commissioner has confirmed that the United Kingdom will be adopting the EU General Data Protection Regulations which will apply from 25th May 2018. This will replace the existing Data Protection Act. It is anticipated that there will be new requirements for the Council to consider and adopt to maintain compliant with the law. The Council already has a positive track record in information governance and will monitor and respond to developments in the legislation as the guidance is published by the Information Commissioner and the European Article 29 Working Party.

Progress on the action plan from the previous governance statement

Actions taken to address the significant issues from the 2015-16 governance statement are as follows:

- EY's annual audit letter 2015-16. The issue relating to the accuracy of the fixed asset register is still ongoing. The Council has commenced procurement to replace the current financial and HR IT systems. For the time being spreadsheets continue to be used as a fixed asset register, which increases the risk that asset valuations will contain material misstatements (although none were found by EY in 2015-16). The new IT systems are scheduled for implementation throughout 2017-19.

7. Conclusion

Based on the work that has been completed, assurance can be taken that the governance arrangements at Norwich City Council are fit for purpose.

Norwich City Council is committed to ensuring the implementation of all actions that are planned to strengthen the organisation's governance arrangements. Implementation of these actions will be monitored through the next annual review.



8. Statement by Leader of the Council and Chief Executive

The Council has in place strong governance arrangements which we are confident protect its interests and provide necessary assurances to our citizens and stakeholders.

We propose over the coming year to continually address any issues arising that need addressing in order to further enhance our governance arrangements. We are satisfied that these steps will address the need for improvements that were identified in our review of effectiveness and will monitor their implementation and operation not only as part of our next annual review, but also continuously throughout the year.

Signed:

.....

Alan Waters
Leader of the Council

Date:

.....

Laura McGillivray
Chief Executive

Date: