SCRUTINY COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME 2012/2013 – NORWICH CITY COUNCIL

Please contact the scrutiny officer; Steve Goddard, Scrutiny officer, Norwich city council, Room 313, City Hall, Norwich NR2 1NH Phone: 01603 212491 email: stevegoddard@norwich.gov.uk

The work programme for the scrutiny committee is informed by a combination of what councillors' feel are important topics, gathered from their ward work and their activities across the whole council or members of the public highlighting issues for debate. Also requests by cabinet for scrutiny to undertake 'pre-scrutiny' before policies are taken to cabinet. Council officers can also request that scrutiny investigate and consider certain issues on their behalf. When raising a possible topic for the work programme it is advisable to make a formal request by using the **Request form to raise an item for Scrutiny Review** which can be obtained from the scrutiny officer or via e-councillor. Once a topic request for scrutiny has been received the topic will usually be required to be put through the following:

Picc Analysis for Prioritising Topics – YOU ARE ASKED TO USE THE PICC ANALYSIS AS A FILTER TO ASSESS THE APPROPRIATENESS FOR SCRUTINY OF TOPICS BEFORE INCLUDING THEM ON THE WORK PROGRAMME

TOPIC TITLE:

REASON FOR TOPIC REQUEST AND OUTCOME SOUGHT:

WHO/WHAT IS THE RESPONSBILE ORGANISATION?

WHO ARE THE RESPONSIBLE CABINET PORTFOLIO COUNCILLOR(S) AND OFFICER(S)?

The council's FIVE main priorities are provided to assist members and can be used as a guide and reminder to draw links between the work of the scrutiny committee and the corporate plan:

- TO MAKE NORWICH A CITY OF CHARCTER AND CULTURE
- TO MAKE NORWICH A SAFE CLEAN CITY
- TO MAKE NORWICH A PROSPEROUS CITY
- TO PROVIDE VALUE FOR MONEY SERVICES
- TO MAKE NORWICH A CITY WITH DECENT HOUSING FOR ALL

P Public interest	
Is there sufficient public interest in the topic?	
Where is the evidence to support this?	
Is interest confined to the city or of broader interest?	
How would it be in the public interest to look at this topic?	

I Impact	
Will the topic impact community well-being?	
To what extent will the topic impact on the community?	
How will the review bring value to community well-being?	

C Council & performance	
How is the council/organisation performing in this area?	
What is the performance data showing? (direction of travel?)	
Are there other performance comparisons or bench marks?	
Is there adequate performance measurement?	

C Keeping in context	
What else is happening in this area such as recent reviews or	
inspections?	
How does this rank within the context of other work or	
priorities?	

WHEN WOULD BE THE BEST TIME TO CARRY OUT SCRUTINY OF THIS TOPIC?

WHAT METHOD(S) SHOULD BE USED TO CARRY OUT THIS SCRUTINY? (task & finish group, topic on agenda at main meeting, themed meeting, consultation etc.)

WHO ELSE SHOULD BE INVOLVED/INVITED?

VENUE? (city hall, other venue, site visit etc)

DATE OF MEETING	TOPIC FOR SCRUTINY	RESPONSIBLE ORGANISATION OFFICER CABINET PORTFOLIO COUNCILLOR	REASON FOR TOPIC REQUEST AND OUTCOME SOUGHT	METHODS AND VENUE
11 April 2013	Lgss, and the revenues and benefits service	Councillor Brenda Arthur, Councillor Alan Waters and Anton Bull	A year on look at the performance of Lgss and a progress report on the revenues & benefits service. To identify any areas for improvement and to note successes.	Annual at committee
11 April 2013	Welfare reform and housing benefit changes	Councillor Alan Waters, Caroline Ryba and Anton Bull	To assess the implications of benefit capping and social housing under occupancy rules that come into force in April 2013, and to look at any outcomes available from the universal credit pilots.	At committee
30 May 2013	Annual scrutiny review	Councillor Claire Stephenson and Steve Goddard	To agree the annual review of the scrutiny committee's work 2012 to 2013 and recommend it for adoption of the council	Annual at committee
30 May 2013	Review of the work programme	Scrutiny committee, corporate leadership team, cabinet and the scrutiny officer	To identify the issues facing the work of the council for the civic year and agree the scrutiny committee work programme up to April 2014	Annual meeting

		Allocated	Items				
Meeting	Report	Purpose	Portfolio holder Senior Officer	Final Report - To be signed off by CLT member	Management Clearance • CLT	Cabinet Briefing (IC) or Portfolio Holder (PH)	Exempt? If yes – which para?
SCRUTINY 11 APR	LGSS and the revenues and benefits service	A year on look at the performance of LGSS and a progress report on the revenues & benefits service. To identify any areas for improvement and to note successes.	Cllr Waters Anton Bull Executive head of business relationship management Ext 2326	28 Mar	Anton Bull		No
SCRUTINY 11 APRIL	Welfare reform and housing benefit changes	To assess the implications of benefit capping and social housing under occupancy rules that come into force in April 2013, and to look at any outcomes available from the universal credit pilots.	Cllr Waters Caroline Ryba Chief finance officer (S151 Officer) 01223 699292 Anton Bull Executive head of business relationship management Ext 2326	28 Mar	Caroline Ryba	PH	No

		Allocated	Items				
Meeting	Report	Purpose	Portfolio holder Senior Officer	Final Report - To be signed off by CLT member	Management Clearance • CLT	Cabinet Briefing (IC) or Portfolio Holder (PH)	Exempt? If yes – which para?
ALL MEMBERS BRIEFING 16 APR	Sustainable communities act	N/A	TBC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
CABINET 17 APR	Revenue Budget Monitoring 2012/13 – Period 11	To update cabinet on the financial position and the forecast outturn for the year 2012/13	Cllr Waters Caroline Ryba Chief finance officer (S151 Officer) 01223 699292 Mark Smith Ext 2561	3 Apr	Caroline Ryba	PH	No
CABINET 17 APR	Disabled aids and adaptations policy	To seek approval of the council's revised disabled aids and adaptations policy	Cllr MacDonald, Tracy John Head of housing Ext 2939	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	No

		Allocated	Items				
Meeting	Report	Purpose	Portfolio holder Senior Officer	Final Report - To be signed off by CLT member	Management Clearance • CLT	Cabinet Briefing (IC) or Portfolio Holder (PH)	Exempt? If yes – which para?
CABINET 17 APR	Northern Distributor Road application procedures.	To ensure adequate delegated powers are in place to respond to the requirements of the application process.	Cllr Bremner Graham Nelson, Head of planning services Ext 2530 Ian Whittaker Planning development manager Ext 2528	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	No
CABINET 17 APR	Managing assets	To agree disposal of a property in the general fund and an area of land in the housing revenue account	Cllr Waters Andy Watt Head of city development services Ext 2691	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	Yes – Para 3
CABINET 17 APR	Goldsmith Street Redevelopment – KEY DECISION	To seek approval of the redevelopment proposals for Goldsmith Street.	Cllr MacDonald Andy Watt Head of city development services Ext 2691	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	Yes – Para 3

		Allocated	Items				
Meeting	Report	Purpose	Portfolio holder Senior Officer	Final Report - To be signed off by CLT member	Management Clearance • CLT	Cabinet Briefing (IC) or Portfolio Holder (PH)	Exempt? If yes – which para?
CABINET 17 APR	Implementing the council's neighbourhood model	To seek approval for service changes that will enhance the effectiveness of the council's approach to neighbourhood working	Cllr Driver Bob Cronk Head of local neighbourhood services Ext 2373 Adrian Akester Head of citywide services Ext 2331	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	Yes – Para 2
CABINET 17 APR	'Cycle City Ambition Grant'	To seek approval for delegated authority to apply for a 'Cycle City Ambition Grant'	Cllr Bremner Ben Webster Design conservation and landscape manager. Ext 2518	3 Apr	Jerry Massey	PH	No
CABINET 17 APR	Managing housing assets	To seek approval for the purchase of two dwellings under central government's mortgage rescue scheme.	Cllr MacDonald Andrew Turnbull Senior development officer (enabling) Ext 2778	3 Apr		PH	

	Allocated Items						
Meeting	Report	Purpose	Portfolio holder Senior Officer	Final Report - To be signed off by CLT member	Management Clearance • CLT	Cabinet Briefing (IC) or Portfolio Holder (PH)	Exempt? If yes – which para?
ANNUAL COUNCIL 21 MAY							
SCRUTINY 30 MAY	Annual scrutiny review	To agree the annual review of the scrutiny committee's work 2012 to 2013 and recommend it for adoption of the council	Councillor Claire Stephenson Steve Goddard Scrutiny officer Ext 2491	17 May	Russell O'Keefe		No
SCRUTINY 30 MAY	Review of the work programme	To identify the issues facing the work of the council for the civic year and agree the scrutiny committee work programme up to April 2014	Scrutiny committee, corporate leadership team, cabinet and the scrutiny officer	17 May	Russell O'Keefe		No

	Date to be confirmed						
CABINET	Desktop hardware and software award of contract KEY DECISION	To seek approval for the award of contract for desktop hardware and software	Cllr Waters Anton Bull	Anton Bull	PH	No	
CABINET	Phone masts on council buildings and land KEY DECISION	To review and agree the council's policy for placing phone masts on council buildings and land	Cllr Waters Andy Watt	Jerry Massey	PH	No	
COUNCIL	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	To adopt the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) rate for Norwich, subsequent to the completion of the CIL public examination	Cllr Waters Caroline Ryba Chief finance officer Mark Smith Ext 2561 Jerry Massey Deputy chief executive (operations) Ext 2225 Gwyn Jones City growth & development manager Ext 2364	Caroline Ryba	PH	No	

Responsible **Scrutiny request** Date Topic **Progress** Outcome officer 31 May Q4 performance Tina Bailey Further detailed In general payments at post offices/pay points received 2012 continue to increase with April 2010 we had monitoring information requested Payments at regarding use of post 22,656 transactions and by April 2012 we had post offices offices for payments and 26.431 transactions. The value of those (collection rates) any correlation regarding payments was £1,451,099 in Apr 2010 and the amount of time £1,764,762 in Apr 2012. Payments take 6 days between payment being to actually be credited against an account as they go through the post office banking system made and receipt of and our own internal processes. In respect of benefits receipt of benefits. The two transactions are not directly linked. 31 May Q4 performance Tracy John Further information on This performance indicator compares the received monitoring reasons for why there was number of reported cases of ASB in any given 2012 **ASB** figures a drop in anti-social quarter to the same quarter of the previous year. behaviour reporting for Q4 For guarter 4 (2012) there were 783 recorded reports of ASB, in guarter 4 (2011) there were 1029 recorded reports of ASB, resulting in a reduction of 24%. This indicator does not provide information on why there is this variance in reporting of ASB, however we have been reviewing our performance measures for ASB and as part of that work identified a significant amount of duplicate recording, which is likely to account for the reduction in the volume of cases recorded. Our review of performance measures for ASB aims to focus on outcomes of prevention and tackling ASB.

Scrutiny committee recommendation & request tracking

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
					We plan to introduce a new set of ASB indicators that can better reflect our performance tackling ASB monitoring the effectiveness of preventative measures such as use of the mediation service Asking our tenant community about their perception of asb in their neighbourhood - question to be included in the next survey with the Talkback panel in August 2012. Asking our tenant community of their experience of us dealing with reports of ASB - two new satisfaction surveys being launched on low level ASB and ABATE casework. Hopefully this will produce more meaningful data which will track trends and measure our progress more accurately.
31 May 2012	Q4 performance monitoring Revenues & benefits improvement plan	Anton Bull	The housing benefit service improvement plan be provided for members to access via a link on e- councillor	received	Now on e-councillor
05 July 2012	Foodbanks	Steve Goddard	Members felt that it would be important for them to find out if there were particular reasons for why there was a trend for people's periods of crisis to increase in time, and to receive further information from the Food bank, that breaks down the reasons for people presenting for three vouchers or more	Ongoing - A Food bank project with the aim of identifying reasons why people were presenting on more than one occasion has yet to take place.	This is unable to be progressed due to the project not now being undertaken.

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
19 July 2012	Food banks	Steve Goddard	That the communications team helps promote the work of food banks via print and online media	Discussions are under way re: an appropriate approach	Where appropriate reference will be made to the work of the food bank
19 July 2012	Food banks	Boyd Taylor	Clarify with food bank staff that the city council has debt advice officers available to provide guidance to food bank users in the future	Achieved	Food bank staff are now sign posting clients. A training session is being organised for food bank volunteers based on identifying and involving available agencies around the city.
6 Sept 2012	Tennant scrutiny panels	Tracy John	The annual report be presented to the scrutiny committee annually by the tenant reps	Ongoing, to be entered onto the work programme for Nov 2013	
6 Sept 2012	Tennant scrutiny panels	Tracy John	The annual review be received by scrutiny annually	Ongoing, to be entered onto the work programme for Nov 2013	
6 Sept 2012	Street and gully cleaning on Gladstone Street	Andy Watt	The head of city wide services to set up a meeting with residents in the area to talk about the issue and invite the county council and Anglia Water to attend along with officers of Norwich city council	Event held; a report will be circulated to members on the outcome of a community walk a bout event held earlier this month	

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
6 Sept 2012	Quarter 1 performance report	Phil Shreeve	Officers to consider ways in which members can consider historical performance data that would be helpful and	A two year backward looking dash board of annual/year	This is now accessible on the council's web-site
11 Oct 2012	Assets of community	Andy Watt and Jerry	relevant in the monitoring of the current performance target. That the cabinet be requested to consider the	end data is being created. Scrutiny amendments	Cabinet approved the proposals to implement decision making and governance arrangements
2012	value	Massey	suggested scrutiny amendments to the proposed scheme	accepted 17 October 2012	relating to community rights to bid for assets of community value, subject to incorporating the amendments proposed by the scrutiny committee at its meeting on 11 October 2012.
11 Oct 2012	Night time economy	Bob Cronk	Members be kept informed of the progress made with addressing the responsibilities of bar staff and licensees with regard to drunkenness and cheap unit pricing of alcohol	Ongoing	
8 Nov 2012	Quarter 2 performance report	Nikki Rotsos	That more detailed information regarding the issues most commonly occurring as avoidable contract instances be provided to members	Ongoing; this information is being compiled and will then be put into a presentable format	
6 Dec 2012	Normandie tower lifts – (request for scrutiny)	Chris Rayner	Members be kept informed of the work being undertaken to improve the reliability of the new lifts	Complete	This work has been carried out and the new contractor is in place.

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
6 Dec 2012	Benefits claims process	Anton Bull	 comparative costs of contractors in clearing backlog versus employed staff if the team was resourced to the numbers that include the number of contracted staff detailed explanation of the specific issues that caused the backlog regular member updates from benefits board meetings review the process that developed the improvement plan and then further review the imp plan to see if it requires adjustment to sustain continued improvement monitoring feedback to members on the effectiveness of the face to face model 	Ongoing; Please note that the benefits claims process will also form part of the committee's year on look at the performance of the council's partnership with LGSS at the March meeting of scrutiny.	
10 Jan 2013	Section 106	Jerry Massey	Request that officers circulate a note regarding section 106 agreements not being met as they effect viability of some sites for developers. This to allow members to gain an understanding about the process, including explanation of how the district valuer reaches a valuation of sites.		

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
21 Feb 2013	Q3 Performance and budget monitoring	Phil Shreeve	To look into the weighting of the data in SCC4 (% people feeling safe) to see if it can be made to be more representative of the public as a whole.		Data is collected during a short period when people call in about other matters. In order to collect information on e.g. age, gender or ethnicity we'd need to ask for that information as part of the phone call. In some cases the choice options are fairly lengthy (e.g. ethnicity groupings). It may be possible to limit questions to shorter data sets such as gender and some wide age bands without requiring customers to hang on the telephone too long. But weighting is in itself a fairly complex operation based upon matching sample size to overall population characteristics and understanding where there may be significant variations and where there have been sufficient results to make weighting sensible (for example if only two responses came from one age group we could not sensibly extrapolate their views as being capable of weighting to represent the broader views of that age group more generally). Those skills are not available within the Policy & Performance team so would need to be bought in on an ad hoc basis. As some of these more general satisfaction and perception develop it may be more suitable to undertake more detailed work across a wider range of topics with these more frequent checks being used to spot any possible changes in trend. Officers are considering this along with other consultation needs.

Date	Торіс	Responsible officer	Scrutiny request	Progress	Outcome
21 Feb 2013	Q3 Performance and budget monitoring	Adrian Akester	For further information with regard to any removed recycling bank facilities and the effect on tonnage of material.		The bring sites were not removed in July. The savings programme was about transferring the servicing of the banks from different contractors to our current Waste Management contractor. This saved on servicing costs and the charges levied by the differing merchants. This reduced servicing costs from around £30,000 to around £5,000 per year. All sites were reviewed and sites considered to be doing well were retained. The list of sites can be found on our web site. There has been no impact on our recycling rates since we are still collecting from the majority of sites