## **Norwich City Council**

## Summary of Residual Scores for Corporate Risks (one red, 16 amber)

|        | Very High  | 5 |              |                              |          |        |                |
|--------|------------|---|--------------|------------------------------|----------|--------|----------------|
| Impact | High       | 4 |              | A2, A3,<br>A4, C2            | B4, C3   |        | B1             |
|        | Medium     | 3 |              | A5, A6,<br>A8, B3,<br>C5, D1 | C4       | B2, C1 |                |
|        | Low        | 2 |              |                              | A1       |        |                |
|        | Negligible | 1 |              |                              |          |        |                |
|        |            |   | 1            | 2                            | 3        | 4      | 5              |
|        |            |   | Very<br>rare | Unlikely                     | Possible | Likely | Very<br>Likely |
|        |            |   | Likelihood   |                              |          |        |                |

Red scores – in excess of the council's risk appetite (risk score 16 to 25) – action needed to redress, quarterly monitoring. In exceptional circumstances cabinet can approve a residual risk in excess of the risk appetite if it is agreed that it is impractical or impossible to reduce the risk level below 16. Such risks should be escalated through the management reporting line to CLT and cabinet.

Amber scores – likely to cause the council some difficulties (risk score 5 to 15) – quarterly monitoring

Green scores (risk score 1 to 4) – monitor as necessary