Report to	Council
	28 November 2017
Report of	Director of business services
Subject	Local Government Boundary Review

Purpose

To consider arrangements for the electoral scheme for the council in respect to the periodic electoral review being undertaken by the Local Government Boundary Commission of England (LGBCE).

Recommendation

To approve the one the following proposals

(1) To retain the current electoral arrangements for Norwich City Council with 39 city councillors representing 13 Wards and election by thirds

alternatively

(2) To propose new electoral arrangements for Norwich City Council

Corporate and service priorities

The report helps to meet the corporate priority value for money services

Financial implications

None

Ward/s: All Wards

Cabinet member: Councillor Kendrick - Resources

Contact officers

Anton Bull Director of business services	01603 212326	

Stuart Guthrie Democratic and elections manager 01603 212055

Background documents

None

Report

Introduction

- 1. This report sets out the council's response to the Local Government Boundary Commission of England (LGBCE) invitation on 30 June 2017 to consider electoral arrangements for the city council.
- The review was triggered to address poor levels of electoral equality in the city of Norwich. As of 1 December 2016, one ward (University) had a variance -31% from the average ward number. Thorpe Hamlet had a variance of +18%.
- 3. The council ward arrangements will be considered by the LGBCE when the warding patterns consultation period commences on 30 January 2018. Final recommendations will be published on 2 October 2018 for parliamentary approval.
- 4. The LGBCE has asked the council to confirm its draft proposal for the council size by 7 December 2017.
- 5. At this stage council has been asked to recommend the council size (number of councillors), number of wards and the electoral cycle which best reflect the governance arrangements in Norwich.
- 6. The last periodic review of electoral arrangements in Norwich conducted in 2001 and completed in 2002 reduced the number of councillors from 48 to 39.

Current Governance Arrangements

- 7. There are currently 39 ward councillors in Norwich representing 13 wards.
- 8. The council operates under a Leader and Cabinet model with the following committees and number of councillors on each committee:
 - Council 39
 - Cabinet 8
 - Licensing 13
 - Regulatory sub-committee any 5 out of the 13 members of licensing
 - Licensing sub-committee any 3 out of the 13 members of licensing
 - Planning applications 12
 - Scrutiny 13
 - Standards 6
 - Mousehold Heath Conservators 9
 - Norwich area museums 6
 - Norwich Highways agency 5
 - Twinning 11
 - Sustainable Development panel 8
 - Audit 8

Overview of recommended council size submission

- 9. The council's submission needs to address points raised in the LGBCE guidance which stresses the importance of submitting "well-reasoned proposals that are based on the individual characteristics and needs of each local authority and communities.
- 10. The council's submission needs to satisfy the LGBCE 's aims of being able to:-

"recommend a council size that allows

- the council to take decisions effectively;
- manage the business and responsibilities of the council successfully;
- provide effective community leadership and representation",

Summary of recommended council submission

- 11. It is recommended that at the full council meeting on 28 November 2017 the council agree to;
 - retain its current practice of elections by thirds
 - as a consequence of the above, each ward will remain represented by three councillors
 - as a result to keep the number of councillors at 39
- 12. As can be seen from the number of committees above, this will allow the council to continue to deliver effective arrangements for the management and delivery of its business and responsibilities.
- 13. The alternative would be to reduce the number of councillors to 26 to represent the 13 wards. However, with only 26 councillors it would become extremely difficult to provide councillors to cover all of the committees listed above.
- 14. The current number of councillors has worked for the council since the last review. The current Leader and Cabinet model with the committees that support this have ensured good governance for the council and residents.

Members Involvement

15. There was a LGBCE members briefing held on 16 October 2017. This followed meetings with officers and group leaders. This report will also allow members to discuss the submission at the full council meeting.

Conclusion

16. Once a recommendation has been agreed by council, a formal submission will be given to the LGBCE on the agreed report.

Integrated impact assessment



The IIA should assess **the impact of the recommendation** being made by the report Detailed guidance to help with the completion of the assessment can be found <u>here</u>. Delete this row after completion

Report author to complete	
Committee:	Council
Committee date:	28 November 2017
Director / Head of service	Anton Bull Director of business services
Report subject:	Local Government Boundary Review
Date assessed:	16 November 2017
Description:	Local Government Boundary Review

	Impact			
Economic (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Finance (value for money)				
Other departments and services e.g. office facilities, customer contact				
ICT services	\square			
Economic development	\square			
Financial inclusion	\square			
Social (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Safeguarding children and adults	\square			
S17 crime and disorder act 1998	\square			
Human Rights Act 1998	\square			
Health and well being				

		Impact		
Equality and diversity (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Relations between groups (cohesion)	\square			
Eliminating discrimination & harassment	\square			
Advancing equality of opportunity	\square			
Environmental (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Transportation	\square			
Natural and built environment	\square			
Waste minimisation & resource use	\square			
Pollution	\square			
Sustainable procurement	\square			
Energy and climate change				
(Please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Risk management				

Recommendations from impact assessment	
Positive	
Negative	
Neutral	
ssues	