Report to	Cabinet	ltem
	16 December 2020	
Report of	Head of strategy and transformation	8
Subject	Corporate Performance and Risk Report, Quarter Two 2020-21	0

### Purpose

To consider progress against the delivery of the corporate plan priorities and key performance indicators and an update on corporate risk for quarter two of 2020-21.

#### Recommendation

To:

- 1) note progress on the key performance indicators for this quarter and the corporate risk register; and
- 2) suggest future actions and / or reports to address any areas of concern.

### **Corporate and service priorities**

The report helps to meet the corporate priority of achieving a healthy organisation.

#### **Financial implications**

There are no direct financial consequences of this report.

#### Ward/s: All Wards

Cabinet member: Councillor Waters - Leader

#### **Contact officers**

Adam Clark, Strategy Manager	01603 212273
Ruth Newton, Senior Strategy Officer	01603 212368
Gavin Jones, Internal audit manager	01603 987605

## **Background documents**

None

# Report

## Background

- 1. This report sets out progress against the key performance indicators (KPIs) that track delivery of the corporate plan priorities. This is the sixth quarterly performance report for the corporate plan 2019-2022.
- 2. The corporate plan 2019-22 established three corporate priorities: people living well; great neighbourhoods, housing and environment; and inclusive economy. It also contained the objective of maintaining a healthy organisation. The performance framework aims to measure progress against these through over 50 KPIs which monitor delivery of activities and services which contribute to these objectives.
- 3. This report provides an update on performance against KPIs for quarter two of 2020-21. Performance reporting for indicators in this report is based around a traffic light concept where green is on target, amber provides an early warning for possible intervention and red suggests intervention is necessary.
- 4. This report also incorporates the corporate risk register, which highlights key corporate risks.

## Quarter two performance data

- 5. Full details of performance against KPIs is available at the following link: <u>https://app.powerbi.com/view?r=eyJrljoiYzMwYTIzYTYtYmY0YS00NWQ3LWJ</u> <u>mMDYtNjQzYzg0YTMwOTM4IiwidCl6ImZhNzQ4ZjM0LWE0YWMtNDNhMy1h</u> <u>MmE0LTg4ZWQ2MGI3OWU5MiJ9</u> This is a new format for 2020-21 reporting due to a change in the reporting software; all quarterly reports will now be published via this Power BI dashboard. Note that indicators showing blue in this dashboard are ones where data has not been able to be reported this guarter.
- 6. Some historic data remains unavailable due to issues in gathering data or pauses in service delivery as a result of Covid-19. This includes KPIs relating to council shop occupancy, HR, empty homes and contract outcomes where it was not possible to gather data for March 2020; these appear on the dashboard with a zero value. Others gaps in data, particularly for June 2020, relate to services which were paused during lockdown, for example digital inclusion sessions and community centre hire. Two indicators are still unable to report data in quarter 2 as a result of Covid-19: these are street cleanliness inspections which have not yet resumed and use of community centres by income decile as centre activity remains limited. Some areas of performance also continue to be affected by restrictions due to Covid-19; these are explained in more detail below.

### Quarter two performance summary

7. The below table (figure 1) summarises the number of KPIs under each corporate priority which are RAG rated red, amber or green and the number

where data is not available or is not due to be reported this quarter. This data can be explored in more detail in the dashboard.

Corporate priority	Numbe	r of KPIs RA	Data not available	Data not due for reporting	
. ,	Red	Amber	available	in Q4	
People living well	4	0	8	0	0
Great neighbourhoods, housing and environment	6	1	2	2	5
Inclusive economy	1	1	2	0	7
Healthy organisation	3	0	5	0	6
Total	14	2	17	2	18

rigare ri carrinary rate rating of Key performance maleatere by corporate priority	Figure 1: summary	RAG rating of key	performance indicators	by corporate priority
--	-------------------	-------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

- 8. Overall council performance reflects a very challenging operating environment, with significant economic and financial challenges for the city and the council, changing resident and business behaviours, and new and changing patterns of demand. The council's C19 recovery blueprint and update report show how the council is responding to these challenges and individual areas of council performance are highlighted below. Specific areas where anticipated performance is not on track are being addressed through service management, organisational change and working with partners. Ultimately this highlights the need for adequate resourcing of local government to ensure resilience of key services, especially in challenging times.
- 9. The following areas of performance are brought to your attention as areas which are performing well:
  - There has been a significant decrease in sickness absence, with a projected outturn for quarter 2 of 5.4 days per employee.
  - Customer satisfaction is still performing above target, although there has been a slight decrease since quarter 1: satisfaction for this quarter is 79.7%.
  - Revenues and benefits satisfaction levels also remain high for quarter 2 at 89%, even in challenging areas such as Council tax recovery.
  - Performance against the channel shift indicator also continues well, with customers continuing to access services online, phone contact back to normal levels and only emergency in person appointments currently taking place.

- Performance on the food hygiene indicator remains high, although is based on a smaller number of cases as the team continues to monitor and advise on Covid-19 measures which takes up a significant resource. Inspections restarted at the end of August 2020 concentrating on the backlog of high risk premises that required inspection, in line with the direction from the Food Standards Agency (FSA). There remains a significant backlog of food hygiene inspections this has been recognised by the FSA as a national issue which is being worked on collaboratively.
- 54% (67 of 124) of benefits decisions were upheld at review stage, with the majority (44 of the 57) of revised reviews based on new information the benefits team did not hold at the time of the original decision, meaning that these were likely not the result of assessment error.
- In quarter 2, 332 planning customers were surveyed in response to recently decided planning applications. 26 complete responses were received with 82% of customers either pleased or very pleased with the service received. Feedback indicates that officers were proactive and efficient and that customers were grateful for quick and direct communication by phone and email.
- Quarter two performance on private rented sector homes made safe is good for quarter two, although there are ongoing issues as a result of Covid-19. Work to make private rented sector homes safe continued during the lockdown and beyond. Many cases took longer due to inspections taking place online and also a delay in available contractors to carry out work and problems with tenants not having space in their homes to allow contractors to work and socially distance. This will be more fully reflected in the statistics for cases in 6-18 months' time. The team were also extensively involved in advising on and monitoring compliance with the health requirements of Covid-19 legislation during this period.
- 10. Commentary on output measures which are not meeting their targets is also included below:
  - Planning decisions upheld at appeal is slightly below target for the period at 60% (and RAG rated red), as two of five appeals were lost. One related to an HMO and is being treated as an anomaly; the other related to a C1 (air-BnB type) property where the particular circumstances are unlikely to arise again but will be noted for future reference.
  - CO2 emissions from local authority activity is under target at 2.5% and RAG rated red, although this relates to performance for 2019-20 and is published now as the figure has only recently become available. It shows a 2.5% fall in emissions during 2019/20 as part of a 62.1% reduction overall. While this is slightly below target for the year, emissions tend to vary considerably year to year and in previous years it has been exceeded. The council has set a net zero target for 2030.
  - Although the percentage of homes rated 'C' or above has reduced slightly in percentage terms and is now rated amber, the actual number of homes rated 'C' or higher has increased by 9 over the quarter. However, a greater number of homes have moved from the 'no rating'

bracket into 'D' rating, which has disguised the real progress that has made, both in increasing those of 'C' or higher, and in reducing the number of properties with unknown energy efficiency.

- Residual household waste is RAG rated red at 104.1kg for quarter 2. Across the country the impact of Covid-19 has been to significantly increase domestic waste tonnages as more people have spent more time at home, generating more waste.
- Total amount of additional income clients have gained through debt/money advice via council-provided advice is also rated red for Q2, at just under £20,000. This is due to the impacts of Covid-19 on the advice team and on residents. Advisers have been 'firefighting' urgent demands for help and covering vacancies meaning fewer cases than usual were closed so some results are yet to be recorded. Reduced staff capacity means that data recording may have also been less effective and this is currently being reviewed. Increased demand for support by residents has increased demands placed on charities for grants, for example availability of support for white goods is now under pressure, although there is an expectation that new Norfolk Assistance Scheme funding will boost forthcoming results.
- The proportion of tenants reporting feeling safe has fallen and showing as missing its target. A relatively low response rate partly accounts for quarterly fluctuations in the data, whereas the larger dataset of all residents' perceptions of safety stay steadily above 60%, which is a more reliable figure. We will continue to monitor the data and work with partners and through the safer neighbourhoods initiative to support all our residents to feel safe.
- Only 24% of respondents were satisfied with the service provided to deal with ASB and only 17% with the outcome of their ASB case, meaning both ASB KPIs are rated red for quarter 2. The ASB feedback supplied is currently for the stage one ASB case management. A new ASB service is being established to ensure a more consistent and effective response to ASB enforcement which will start to be in place later this year. In the meantime, the service is holding vacancies and the teams are focusing on the high risk cases meaning performance remains below target.
- Green Flag awards for parks and open spaces is also rated red as only two of the three submissions were successful. Eaton Park and Mousehold Heath have Green Flag Awards and Waterloo Park narrowly missed out. We will be reviewing the judge's feedback for all sites, to identify priority actions required prior to next year's submissions in February 2021.
- Assets maintained by community groups remains under target at 52 and is rated red for quarter 2, although it has not been possible to update figures due to staff time being taken up by Covid-19, so this may be an underestimation. Activity did slow during lockdown but we are now starting to see new groups asking to take on space, significantly in Mousehold and Tuckswood, often stemming from new groups forged from mutual aid set ups which is very positive. We hope to review these numbers soon and expect that it will jump further up towards the target.

- Volunteer hours in parks is below target at 2,457 and rated red, although hours have increased in quarter two despite ongoing restrictions. Despite challenges it is good to see that people are benefitting from the mental health, physical and social benefits of volunteering. There are 18 groups working on the city's parks and open spaces assets. Guidance for the site groups on operating in a Covid secure way was provided. The in-house groups have operated on a rota system to enable people to still benefit less frequently but in a safe way. Of the 18 groups, 14 have been active this quarter. We have reviewed this in light of the escalation in cases and advice was sent to all independent groups to reduce to a single group of 6, meaning figures may reduce again next quarter.
- Avoidable contact is rated red at 43.4%, principally due to high numbers of customers contacting the council about their benefit claims.
   Development of the portal and services online should help reduce levels of avoidable contact.
- The current general fund forecast is an outturn overspend of £0.8m and this indicator is therefore rated red for this quarter. This figure reflects the Quarter 2 forecast impact of Covid-19 on the council's income and expenditure as well as incorporating identified in-year savings and government funding. Since Quarter 2 a further £0.960m of government funding has been received. Therefore, if no further budget pressures are identified, this additional funding combined with all the positive short-term actions the council took to reduce spend will result in an overall general fund underspend.
- Indicators measuring the proportion of top earners with protected characteristics (compared to the whole workforce) who are female or disabled are both rated red for quarter two, although there has been an increase in the proportion of top earners who are disabled compared to the workforce as a whole.

## Corporate risk register

- 11. The council has identified a need to reconstitute its arrangements for managing risk as a priority action included in the 2020 Annual Governance Statement. Whilst the Council has in place an established strategy and policy that conforms to best practice, the need to improve the application of these processes was identified to ensure that risk management is operating effectively at every level of its operations.
- 12. To facilitate a full refresh of the risk management process and corporate risk register, internal audit have worked with directors and the Corporate Leadership Team (CLT) through a mix of discussion and workshops to reset risk registers from scratch.
- 13. The corporate register will be reviewed each quarter by CLT as part of its quarterly performance review. It is intended to provide a risk management report to the audit committee twice yearly at the request of the audit committee chairman so that the committee can fulfil its oversight function.
- 14. The corporate risk register is included as Appendix 1.

Integrated impact asses	tegrated impact assessment			
Report author to complete				
Committee:	Cabinet			
Committee date:	16 December 2020			
Director / Head of service	Head of Strategy and Transformation			
Report subject:	Quarter 2 performance and risk report 2020-21			
Date assessed:	1 December 2020			

		Impact		
Economic (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Finance (value for money)				
Other departments and services e.g. office facilities, customer contact				
ICT services				
Economic development				
Financial inclusion				
Social (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Safeguarding children and adults				
S17 crime and disorder act 1998				
Human Rights Act 1998				
Health and well being				
Equality and diversity (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Relations between groups (cohesion)				
Eliminating discrimination & harassment				

		Impact		
Advancing equality of opportunity	$\square$			
Environmental (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Transportation	$\square$			
Natural and built environment	$\square$			
Waste minimisation & resource use	$\square$			
Pollution	$\square$			
Sustainable procurement	$\square$			
Energy and climate change	$\square$			
(Please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Risk management				

Recommendations from impact assessment	
Positive	
Negative	

Neutral
Issues
The range of council activity represented by this report means that it is not possible to identify the aggregate impact; this is covered by the individual impact assessments that are conducted as part of routine council business

## **APPENDIX 1**

## Corporate risk register

Risk 1		Owner:	Interim Director	of Resour	ces	Category: Resource	Finance & s	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$	
				Ta	rget Risk				ent Residual Risk	
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score
Council's financial position goes into significant deficit	<ul> <li>Initial indications is that in 20-21 the</li> </ul>	• £3.9m unallocated reserves available to offset deficit	Jun 20	4	2	8	Manage	4	3	12
resulting in reserves falling below the prudent minimum level general fund will overspend by £7.2 million without	<ul> <li>whilst maintaining prudent level of reserve.</li> <li>To date (22/10/20), awarded</li> </ul>		Action	Owne		ntrol Action Target Date		Update		
<ul> <li>Insufficient general and earmarked reserves to address shortfall</li> <li>Or use of earmarked reserves impacts on ability to deliver other council projects (invest to save funded)</li> <li>Non-delivery of in-year saving to mitigate financial deficit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>further action.</li> <li>Relying solely on the general fund reserve to offset this would leave the reserve at an unsustainable level and below the £4.3m prudent minimum level.</li> <li>Without significant action and consideration of reserves the council will be at serious risk of being in a S114 position.</li> <li>Without a clear plan of action the council will have to begin engaging with CIPFA and the MHCLG.</li> </ul>	• To date (22/10/20), awarded £2.8m of Covid-19 funding from government	Review th to ensure still reflec priorities affordable Conduct a all 2020-2 to identify immediat	to lobby Governme impensate Norwich he financial impacts ne capital programm that the schemes t the council's curre and that these are <u>e in new landscape</u> . A corporate review o 21 revenue budgets of areas for ely reducing in-year ntial expenditure.	of Interim Resour	Director of	Date Ongoing Dec 20 Jul 20	<ul> <li>asking for the compensated</li> <li>The Leader has MPs</li> <li>Both the Leader discuss Leaders and 0 point, and are Key Cities ned through that n</li> <li>The CEO has with the Chief lobby for a fai and the wider</li> <li>The CEO has in the MJ to lo point</li> <li>The s151 offici financial retur of Covid on the the covid on the site of the covid on the covid covid on the covid cov</li></ul>	Ainister and Ch council to be fu as also lobbied der and CEO ar sion with other CEOs to lobby active membe twork and are to oute been in touch Executive of th r settlement for sector. also published obby Governme cer ensures tha ns setting out the council's bud G in a timely me eviewed the pro- pening briefs for orogramme to b 021.	ancellor ully the local e in Norfolk on this rs of the obbying directly he LGA to Norwich I articles ent on this t all he impact liget are nanner. ogramme or options e

Risk: 2. Council Funding	Medium- Long Tern	1	Owner: Interim Director of Resources				Category: Resource	Finance & s	Risk Direction:	$\blacklozenge$
				Ta	rget Risk			Current	Residual Ris	sk
Description/Triggers Impact	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score
<ul> <li>Council fails to identify and plan for enough</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Councils financial position goes into</li> </ul>	• Review all the assumptions within the	Jun 20	5	2	10	Manage	5	3	15
savings over the medium	deficit, reducing	MTFS				Risk Co	ntrol Action			
<ul><li>term.</li><li>Non-delivery of identified sovings</li></ul>	confidence in financial strength	Corporate budget planning guidance issued		Action	Owne		Target Date		Update	
<ul> <li>New national funding arrangements reduce government funding (Fairer Funding, Business Rates Retention, New Homes Bonus)</li> <li>Economic uncertainty increase volatility on business rates and council tax</li> <li>Risk of inflation on costs and pension deficit increases.</li> <li>Unplanned use of reserves reducing capacity and flexibility and compromising stability.</li> <li>Unplanned use of reserves reducing capacity and flexibility and compromising stability.</li> <li>Section 114 notice</li> <li>Government intervention</li> <li>Failure to deliver Council Plan</li> <li>Restructure management improve set</li> </ul>	consideration to latest forecasts and options to close any gap identified	Term Fina understan medium ir	he council's Medium- lancial Strategy, to nd the short to implications for requirements and use es		Sep 20	Complete				
	<ul> <li>during the review of MTFS - assumptions.</li> <li>Consultation launched if required prior to budget approved in Ech 2021</li> </ul>	setting the and provid Cabinet in	by the budget for 2021/22 d provide further detail to abinet in July on the proach and timeline.		Jul 20	Complete				
	management team to improve service delivery	Cabinet bi refresh	iefed on the MTFS	S Interim Resou	Director of rces	Nov 20	Leader and Por complete prior t		5	
<ul> <li>Lack of capital resources to fund the council's asset base – implications</li> </ul>	ase – implications of Council by programme.	transformation programme.		approve the revised         Interim Director of           Full Council         Resources			Feb 20			
stakeholders. • Overspends arising from activity not in service plans.	<ul> <li>Started process of service reviews to generate efficiencies</li> <li>Utilising invest to save reserve to deliver transformation programme</li> </ul>	<b>Comments:</b> The Council is working towards a sustainable budget that does not utilise reserves. incorporate the use of reserves to bring the general fund reserve back to the risk assessed minim requirement.							ent plans	

Risk: 3. Commercialisation (investment property, NRL, other commercial income sources)		Owner:	Owner: Interim Director of Resources				Category: Resource	Finance & s	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$	
				Target		et Risk			Current Residual Ris		sk
Description/Triggers Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likeliho	bod	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	
<ul> <li>Lack of depth of skills and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NRL – losses in</li> </ul>	Asset Management Strategy	Jun 20	4	2		8	Manage	4	2	8
<ul> <li>knowledge to manage commercial activities (reliance on several key staff)</li> <li>Uncertainty over the future government direction on commercial activity (PWLB consultation ongoing)</li> <li>Non-delivery of budgeted income levels to support general fund</li> <li>Ineffective management of the property portfolio leads to reducing income and poor use of assets</li> </ul>	Commercial Strategy					Risk Co	ntrol Action				
			Action	Ow	vner		Target Date		Update		
		from the in	Address recommendations from the internal audit review of NRL governance.			Director of Aug 20 Resources		Actioned			
	Ineffective     management of     the property     portfolio leads to     reducing income     and poor use of	Ineffective management of the property portfolio leads to reducing income and poor use of	the Counc housing c look to es structures to be take	the Council's approach to housing commissioning and look to establish and revise structures as agreed. A report to be taken to Cabinet to agree the principle of the		Director of Place Nov 20		Nov 20			
			Following Cabinet approval, new and revised structures will be put in place.Director of PlaceProduce a new asset management strategy.Director of Place			Director of Place Mar 21		Mar 21			
						of Place	Mar 21				
			Commen	Comments:					,		

				Director of Peo ourhoods	ole &			Category:		Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$
				Ta	rget Ri	isk			Current	Residual Ris	sk
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likeliho	lood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score
<ul> <li>Covid-19 and risk of spreading infection</li> </ul>	New way of	<ul> <li>Improved IT capabilities to allow more staff to work</li> </ul>	May 20         4         2         8         Manage         4         2								
across the workplace.	working has the potential to	from home were developed					Risk Cor	ntrol Action			
	change the council's culture and make it a	and rolled out quickly, which has enabled the majority of staff to work from home		Action	Ov	wner		Target Date		Update	
	<ul> <li>more modern organisation which supports a flexible approach to work/life balance for staff.</li> <li>Impact of Covid- 19 and duties to ensure social distancing and requirements to ensure safety in the workplace are addressed</li> <li>Since March.</li> <li>Virtual council me being held in order the risk of spread disease through o contact, although organisation will r 'face to face' meæ appropriate to do</li> <li>Hand sanitiser dis introduced across workplace.</li> <li>Desk policy to en limited capacity a &amp; 2m social dista observed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>since March.</li> <li>Virtual council meetings are being held in order to limit the risk of spreading the disease through close contact, although the organisation will revert to 'face to face' meetings in the council chamber and committee rooms when it is</li> </ul>	internal la measures support so more staff when it is with a risk building c engaged. Develop g	t changes to the yout and other safe within city hall to ocial distancing as return to the office appropriate to do s assessment of the arried out and unic	ety Re so, e ns Dir	Resources		Jun 20 Aug 20	Actioned		
		<ul> <li>appropriate to do so.</li> <li>Hand sanitiser dispensers introduced across workplace.</li> <li>Desk policy to ensure</li> </ul>	including the formation of a mental health working group Continue to allow staff to work from home where possible, &			rector	r of People Ongoing abourhoods		Actioned		
		limited capacity adhered to & 2m social distancing	home and								
		modules for staff.									

Risk: 5. Second wave of	Covid-19:		Owner:	Chief Executive	_			Category:	Customer	Risk Direction:			
				Та	rget	Risk			Curren	t Residual Ri	sk		
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence		elihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score		
<ul> <li>Adverse impacts</li> </ul>	Lack of	Delivery of business support	Jun 20	4		3	12	Manage	5	4	20		
associated with further	government	grants.											
restrictions and pressures associated with Covid-19	funding to support local businesses	<ul> <li>Ongoing work with the Norwich BID and Norfolk</li> </ul>		A . (*		•		ntrol Action		11			
that will have on the city,	resulting in local	Chambers of Commerce		Action		Owner		Target Date		Update			
council and local services	<ul> <li>business failures</li> <li>Economic uncertainty increase volatility on business rates and council tax</li> <li>Insufficient government</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Norfolk wide response being managed through Norfolk Resilience Forum (NRF) attend by leader of the council and Chief Executive</li> <li>Covid operational group continues to oversee</li> </ul>	Norfolk Cl Commerc County Co implemen and signa	the Norwich BID, nambers of e, police and Norfo puncil to review and t physical measure ge in the city centre social distancing.	lk d s		of People bourhoods	Ongoing					
		funding to local authorities to support the delivery of additional Covid - 19 related activities • Financial	authorities to support the delivery of additional Covid - 19 related activities • Financial	<ul> <li>response and actions deployed to reduce the number of cases.</li> <li>A gold command structure was put in place with the chief executive chairing daily meetings and the director of strategy and culture leading the response</li> </ul>	Use its lic support bu particularl hospitality appropriat outside sp that peopl	ensing powers to usinesses – y those in the sector – where te to vary the use o bace, whilst ensurin e with disabilities around the city	f		of People bourhoods	Ongoing			
	<ul> <li>pressure due to down turn in income leading to financial instability</li> <li>Increase in unemployment</li> <li>Increase in claims for benefits and consequential impact on staff workloads</li> <li>C-19 Support Officer provided in the city to give and advice and support to businesses and the public</li> <li>Silver command group:         <ul> <li>Promote and disseminate information and toolkits to local businesses</li> <li>Provide advice &amp; engagement with track and trace</li> <li>Deployment of covid-19 support officers</li> <li>Collaboration with police on enforcement actions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	provided in the city to give	- 5		Interim Director of Ongoing Resources		Ongoing						
		To work e partners th networks of virus, p message an effectiv	To work effectively with partners through effective networks to minimise spread of virus, provide clarity of local message and for us to provide an effective local track and trace service				Ongoing	Operational Gro stakeholders ind Director of Publ University. Acti including deploy advisors, more e.g. regular pre- continued revier hotspots and co tracing system.	cluding County ic Health, East on plan in place yment of Covid visible commun ss conferences w of data to ide	Council Anglia safe ications ,			
		<ul><li>support officers</li><li>Collaboration with police</li></ul>	Commen	ts: Scoring takes a	accour	int of gro	wing threat	/spread of Cov					

Risk: 6. Impact of Brexit			Owner: S	Stephen Evans			Category:	Customer	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$					
				Τa	arget Risk			Current	t Residual Ri	sk					
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score					
Continued uncertainty	Public disorder	Membership & participation	Apr 20	3	5	15	Manage	3	5	15					
over the nature of the	events	in Norfolk Resilience Forum													
UK's exit from European	<ul> <li>Food availability</li> </ul>	(NRF)				Risk Co	ntrol Action								
<ul> <li>Union.</li> <li>Risks associated with potential no deal scenario</li> </ul>	(panic buying/ stockpiling) - Increased prices	NRF multi-agency plans to deal with significant events	deal with significant events	deal with significant events	<ul> <li>NRF Initiagency plans to deal with significant events</li> <li>NRF Brexit Strategy</li> </ul>	deal with significant events	deal with significant events		Action	Owne	r	Target Date		Update	
potentia no deal scenario	for food and fuel Possible disruption to fuel supplies Staffing issues (EU nationals)	<ul> <li>NRF Diexit Strategy</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans</li> <li>Brexit Communications Plan</li> <li>National guidance/ toolkits</li> </ul>		e situation, awaitir vernment guidance	e Planni Manag	ng er/ nmental & tion	Ongoing	EPM engaged v working group	vith NRF EU tra	nsition					
	Inclement weather     may have		Information sharing through			Emergency Ong Planning Manager		NRF EU Transition strategy review		iewed					
	<ul><li>increased impacts</li><li>Flood season</li></ul>		Participation in NRF Brexit Emerge			ency	Ongoing	Risk assessmer	nt reviewed and	updated					
	September – April (impacts on		planning n			ng Manager		in the strategy							
			Nominate strategic Brexit Lead CLT Officer					TC will attend E group meetings		rking					
	Costal Districts – provision of mutual aid) • Staffing resilience managing concurrent events (e.g. Covid, Brexit, severe weather) • Potential political developments (elections/referen dums) – these would place increased pressure on some district councils. • Increases in environmental crimes i.e. fly tipping etc., as advised by the Environment		Currently l relationshi	s: Impacts from N ots of unknowns. p between the UK 31 December 20	The country and EU is to	is in a transi be decided	. These negoti	g which the future ations will need to	terms for the conclude in the	ir					

Risk: 7. Failure to respon	nd to a critical, busir	ness continuity or		Director of Peop	le &		Category:	Processes &	Risk	
emergency planning eve	nt		Neighbo	ourhoods			Systems		Direction:	
				Ta	rget Risk		Current		Residual Risk	
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihoo	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score
<ul> <li>Occurrence of a significant event:</li> <li>Loss city hall</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Council unable to function.</li><li>Increase in</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Draft Corporate Business Continuity Plan</li> <li>Service areas Business</li> </ul>	Apr 20	4	4	3	12			
<ul> <li>Contractor collapse</li> <li>Severe weather</li> <li>Council service</li> <li>Vulnerable Service Users</li> </ul>		Impact Analysis and Business Continuity Plans • Work Area Recovery	Completio	Action	Own	er o determine	Target Date End Feb	This has been o	Update	2 vears.
	unable to access services • Reputational	location for Customer Contact ICT Disaster Recovery Plan Incident Management Team response to business	(20/2/18)	actions	lead Direc Strate	officers tor of egy & Culture	21	Progress has be complete remain new manageme on target compl	een made, EPN ning actions by ent structure ma etion date	1 to Feb 21, ay impact
		<ul> <li>continuity incidents.</li> <li>BMG TTX - Exercise Appleyard 1 (14/12/16)</li> <li>BMG TTX - Exercise Appleyard 2 (26/7/17)</li> <li>Service area business continuity exercises</li> <li>Insurance policies</li> <li>Asset register</li> <li>Emergency Management Strategy</li> <li>Incident specific response plans</li> <li>Rest centre and community centre plans</li> <li>Full participation in Norfolk</li> </ul>	Consider reinstating Business Continuity Steering Group for effective business continuity management to provide a mechanism for monitoring and implementing measures to enhance business resilience			o determine officers tor of egy & Culture	End Feb 21	Meeting to be arranged in Feb		21
effects of climate change in the medium to long term.			recomme previous I	on of outstanding ndations/actions fro EP and BC exercise	m and c s way f Direc Strate	o consider etermine orward tor of egy & Culture	Feb 21	EPM to review a actions	and capture out	standing
			Work with emergency planning team in respect to plans for elections in May 2021 and include in plans specific covid- 19 planningDemocratic & Elections Manager					EPM included in meeting sched		Jule
		Resilience Forum meetings Review of NRF risk assessments and Norfolk Community Risk Register	risk of sup Covid or i change d	contract managers oplier collapse due ssues with supply ue to Brexit		ionship & irement	Nov 20			
		<ul> <li>Norfolk Emergency Response Guidance NRF multi-agency plans for specific risks</li> <li>Participation in training and exercises</li> </ul>	Commen	ts: :						

Risk: 8. Sustained increa accommodation	se in homelessness	and temporary	Owner: I Neighbo	Director of Peo urhoods	ple &	2		Category	Customer	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$		
			Í		araet	t Risk			Current	Residual Ri	sk		
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence		elihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score		
<ul> <li>Failing to fulfil statutory responsibility to assess people who present as</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase in costs to council.</li> <li>Increase in social</li> </ul>	Greater Norwich homelessness strategy 2020 – 25	Jun 20	3		3	9 Risk Co	Manage	4	4	16		
homeless or at risk and to develop an appropriate way forward to prevent	<ul><li>issues for the city.</li><li>Legal action against the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Norwich tackling rough sleeping strategy 2017-22</li> <li>The council worked guickly</li> </ul>	sleeping strategy 2017-22	sleeping strategy 2017-22	Work proc	Action		Owner Head of	,	Target Date Ongoing	CLT to consider	Update	iona ta ra
<ul> <li>their being homeless.</li> <li>With the developing economic downturn there will be more families living in poverty, with the risk of homelessness once the 3</li> </ul>	council for not fulfilling statutory obligations Increase in domestic abuse	to ensure that accommodation was – and continues to be - available to all rough sleepers in Norwich.	through th programm sustainabl	e Pathways e to develop a e approach to omelessness in the		Neighbo Housing	ourhood	Ongoing	commission of s Next steps fusin secured to incre functions for 'pe sleeping rough.	ervice. g in excess of ase supply and	£1m I support		
<ul> <li>homelessness once the 3 months ban on evictions is lifted.</li> <li>Failing to fulfil responsibility to clients presenting at risk of domestic abuse</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additional accommodation is continuing to be sourced where it is required.</li> <li>The Pathways partnership programme continues to provide outreach support to those who need it, drawing on a wide range of expertise.</li> <li>From August 20 a specialist tenancy relations adviser is employed from government funding to deal with PRS tenants threatened by homelessness</li> <li>The council is recognised as a 'gold standard' authority in dealing with the prevention and relief of homelessness</li> <li>Government funding has</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>where it is required.</li> <li>The Pathways partnership programme continues to provide outreach support to those who need it, drawing on a wide range of expertise.</li> </ul>	Over the longer-term, work with Pathways and the voluntary and community sector to look at pathways out of poverty for the most vulnerable. This will include training and skills opportunities and measures to move people closer to the labour market and into employment.			Head of Neighbourhood Housing		On going					
		Given increased volumes of presentation and workload, it may be necessary to use government homelessness funding held in existing budgets to take on additional resource in the homelessness relief team. This to be monitored.Housing Options ManagerComments:Comments:					On going	Watching brief. provision being		er			

Risk: 9. Cyber Security & GDPR compliance				Director of Strat nications & Cult			Category Systems	: Processes &	Risk Direction:			
		Mitigation		Ta	rget Risk			Current	t Residual Ri	sk		
Description/Triggers	Impact		Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score		
<ul> <li>Old and unsupported</li> </ul>	Data breaches	Mandatory e-learning	Jun 20	4	3	12	Manage	4	3	12		
<ul><li>operating systems,</li><li>lack of staff awareness</li></ul>	and fines from the Information	modules on systems security & GDPR	Risk Control Action									
<ul><li>and training,</li><li>Cyber-attack etc.</li><li>Non-compliance with GDPR legislation</li></ul>	Commissioners Office (ICO)Mishandling	<ul> <li>Corporate Information Group – monitor data breaches GDPR related issues.</li> </ul>		Action	Owner	,	Target Date		Update			
	<ul> <li>sensitive information</li> <li>IT systems don't meet service transformational expectations.</li> <li>data loss or loss of systems for a period of time.</li> <li>Customer</li> </ul>		Address r from the in of GDPR Informatic	Security Leader			Action Taken: Sent out 89 review requests to officers within the co- one request for each team. Asse owners will be prompted for outs returns and progress will be repo- and reviewed at the next Corpora Information & Assurance Group		council – set tstanding ported prate p (CIAG).			
			Programme to replace legacy IT systems		,	Head of Customers, IT & Digital		An extensive programme of work is place to replace and refresh legacy systems.				
	services disrupted		Internal a	ernal audit of cyber security		Interim Audit Dec 20 Manager		In progress				
	<ul> <li>Financial impact of prolonged IT shutdown</li> <li>Political &amp;</li> </ul>				Democratic & Elections Manager		Started procurement of new electora management system as current syst not providing adequate support to elections		nt system			
	reputational risk		Commen	ts:				·				

Risk: 10. Joint Venture contracts				Director of Peop ourhoods	le &		Category:	Customer	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$	
				Tai	rget Risk			Current Residual Risk		sk	
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	
<ul> <li>Ending the joint venture contracts with Norse</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Key services not delivered</li> </ul>	Place project – multi officer	Jun 20	3	3	9	Manage	3	4	12	
contracts with Norse group for environmental services (April 2021); property management and general fund and housing repairs & maintenance (April 2022) and avoiding significant impacts on services. Poor relationship management Joint ventures not	The Council does	project team and board in place to implement				Risk Co	ntrol Action				
	<ul> <li>not get VFM</li> <li>Benefits of partner and</li> </ul>	<ul><li>insourcing projects</li><li>Business as usual</li></ul>		Action	Owner		Target Date		Update	odate	
	<ul> <li>contract management not realised.</li> <li>Specification not adhered to.</li> <li>Services not provided at an acceptable level</li> <li>Customer and staff complaints</li> <li>Workstream to oversee delivery and performance</li> <li>Revised key performance indicators for property and building maintenance contracts agreed</li> <li>Regular review of performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Revised key performance indicators for property and building maintenance contracts agreed</li> <li>Regular review of</li> </ul>	internal at housing re maintenat reviewed agreed	endations from the udit review of the epairs & nce contract and action plan	& Neigh	of People abourhoods	Mar 21 Apr 21	Update report to Committee in No		y Audit	
managed effectively, and key service outcomes not			services to Norwich City Services Limited by 1.4.21								
<ul><li>achieved.</li><li>Contracts not managed effectively.</li><li>Joint venture arrangements do not run to term or budget</li></ul>		building n	sfer of property and naintenance service h City Services y 1.4.22		xecutive	Apr 22					
			Commen	ts:	I		1	1			

Risk: 11. Failure to fulfil statutory or legislative responsibilities, including safeguarding.			Director of Peop ourhoods	le &		Category	: Customer	Risk Direction:	$\leftrightarrow$									
				Tar	get Risk	et Risk		Current Residual Ris		sk								
Description/Triggers	Impact	Mitigation	Date Raised	Consequence	Likelihood	Score	Risk Strategy	Consequence	Likelihood	Score								
• Lack of understanding the statutory and legislative	Financial costs in compensation &	Communication Strategy to ensure implementation	Apr 20         4         3         12         Manage         5         3															
responsibilities.	fines	Corporate Governance				Risk Co	ontrol Action											
Lack of awareness of legislative changes and new legislation	Intervention if     complete failure	Group in place to oversee compliance		Action	Owner		Target Date		Update									
<ul> <li>new legislation.</li> <li>Failure to implement statutory duties and responsibilities.</li> <li>Lack of required skills knowledge and experience of key officers</li> <li>Acting illegally</li> <li>Negative impact on the Council's reputation</li> <li>Wrong decision being made</li> <li>Harm, abuse,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legal Services in place to provide support</li> <li>Positive approach to checking compliance with legislation</li> <li>Professional leads identify legal requirements</li> </ul>	informatic understar	officer confidence in on sharing and Iding of when and Iso appropriately	& Comr	Early Intervention & Community Safety Manager		ion Mar 21 Home office guide on sharing for professiona er safeguarding champio discuss at team meetii		sionals re-circulated to npions Sept 2020 to neetings. Corporate ne in development; due									
<ul><li>tasked to fulfil statutory or legislative responsibilities.</li><li>Insufficient organisational capacity.</li><li>Ineffective procedures</li></ul>	accident or death linked to failure of the Council to act within safeguarding	<ul> <li>Quality assurance processes in place for contracted services</li> <li>Suitably trained and qualified staff and</li> </ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	processes in place for contracted services • Suitably trained and qualified staff and	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and qualified staff and</li></ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	<ul><li>processes in place for contracted services</li><li>Suitably trained and</li></ul>	embedde	ding policy and risk d into contractors' ontractors' policy ice	& Comr	tervention nunity Manager	Mar 21	Contractors to b elements of cor programme; due 2020.	porate training	
<ul> <li>and processes.</li> <li>Lack of clarity of roles and ownership of legislative responsibilities</li> <li>(1) O conference</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>arrangements</li> <li>Being held to account by overseeing</li> </ul>	mandatory reading of key documents for all officers	Maintain safeguarding champion knowledge, understanding, confidenceEarly Intervention & Community Safety Manager				Mar 21	Safeguarding m Training knowle safeguarding ch	dge shared am									
<ul><li>(H&amp;S, safeguarding, equality etc.).</li><li>Delegation of responsibilities where services are with a contractor.</li></ul>	organisations (e.g. children safeguarding) maybe included in reputation		Embed le teams	nbed learning across council Early Interventio ams & Community Safety Manager			Mar 21	Safeguarding issues logs reviews to b undertaken by all council team leaders and managers – and discussed with officers at supervision meetings – scheduled from end of November 202 Training programme to be introduced across the council from early 2021.										
			Commen	ts:														

## **Risk Scoring matrix**

	Very High	5	5	10	15	20	25
	High	4	4	8	12	16	20
Impact	Medium	3	3	6	9	12	15
	Low	2	2	4	6	8	10
	Negligible	1	1	2	3	4	5
			1	2	3	4	5
			Very rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Very Likely
				L	.ikelihood		