Report to	Cabinet	ltem	
	5 February 2014		
Report of	Deputy Chief Executive (operations)	10	
Subject	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) – Process for engaging with local communities on the expenditure of the community element of CIL	16	

Purpose

To approve a process for engaging with the local community on how the community element of CIL is spent.

Recommendation:

To approve the process (outlined in paragraphs 11-22 of the report) for engaging with the local community on how the community element of CIL is spent.

Corporate and service priorities:

The report helps to meet the corporate priority "A prosperous city"

Financial Implications:

Under the CIL regime the council is permitted to retain up to 5% of CIL receipts to cover its administration. Consequently, there should be no additional, financial burden on the council.

Ward/s: All

Cabinet member: Councillor Arthur- Leader.

Contact officers

Gwyn Jones	01603 212364
Bob Cronk	01603 212373

Background documents

None

Report

Background

- 1. The council agreed in July 2013 to adopt the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) for the city. CIL is a means of securing developer contributions to fund essential infrastructure to serve new development and replaces the majority of s.106 contributions.
- 2. The CIL 2013 amendment regulations require that 15% of CIL revenue received by the charging authority (or 25% where there is a neighbourhood plan) be passed to parish and town councils where development has taken place (up to a limit of £100 per council tax dwelling in any year). This is to help communities to accommodate the impact of new development and encourage local people to support development by providing direct financial incentives to be spent on local priorities.
- 3. In areas without parish councils, communities will still benefit from this incentive. In these cases the charging authority will retain the CIL receipts but should engage with the communities where development has taken place and agree with them how best to spend the neighbourhood funding. The regulations require charging authorities to clearly and transparently set out their approach to engaging with neighbourhoods and suggest that councils should use their regular communication tools e.g. website, newsletters, etc. The regulations do not therefore prescribe the process but they set out that charging authorities are expected to use existing community consultation and engagement processes in deciding how the neighbourhood funding element will be spent. Appendix 1 provides an estimate of possible CIL community income for Norwich.
- 4. The regulations require that CIL income is spent on infrastructure as defined by the Town and country planning act 2008 (as amended). 'Infrastructure' includes:
 - a) Roads and other transport facilities,
 - b) Flood defences,
 - c) Schools and other educational facilities,
 - d) Medical facilities,
 - e) Sporting and recreational facilities,
 - f) Open spaces.
- 5. The neighbourhood funding element however can be spent on wider range of things. It can be spent on supporting the development of the area by funding:
 - a) The provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
 - b) Anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area. (This does not have to relate to any specific development).

6. The regulations require that consultation should be at the neighbourhood level and be proportionate to the level of levy receipts and the scale of the proposed development to which the neighbourhood funding relates. Account needs to be taken of neighbourhood plans that exist in the area, theme specific neighbourhood groups, local businesses (particularly those working on business led neighbourhood plans), and using networks that ward councillors use. In considering how the neighbourhood element is spent, the charging authority and communities should consider such issues as the phasing of development, the costs of different projects (e.g. a new road, a new school), prioritisation, delivery and phasing of projects, the amount of the levy that is expected to be retained in this way and the importance of certain projects for delivering development that the area needs. It should also have regard to the infrastructure needs of the wider area.

CIL business planning

7. As part of the city deal for Greater Norwich, the local authorities and LEP will prepare an annual business plan and this will determine, amongst other things, the strategic infrastructure capital investment plan for the area. The Greater Norwich Growth Board which is due to be established will be tasked with the delivery of the business plan and will have primary responsibility for coordinating the delivery of strategic infrastructure. The neighbourhood funding element will need to be managed separately as in Broadland and South Norfolk this will be transferred directly to the parish and town councils. It is suggested that the council's process for engaging with communities about the neighbourhood element should link in with the annual business planning process to allow the neighbourhoods to have regard to the strategic infrastructure priorities.

Coordination with other funding and spending plans

8. A fundamental principle of CIL spending should be to link and coordinate spending decisions so best use is made of all available resources. CIL should not be used where other sources of funding are available and maximum impact will be achieved if CIL income is linked with other funds e.g. the council's capital programme (housing and non housing) residual s.106 funds, external funding. CIL may also be used as match funding for other bids.

Building on existing engagement processes in the city.

9. Under the council's neighbourhood model, Norwich has been divided into four areas: north, east, south and west. For the purposes of considering neighbourhood funding for CIL it seems logical to engage with communities about priorities for funding based on these areas. There will need to be flexibility about how funds are allocated for projects in different areas and there will need to be collaboration across areas as development in one part of the city may well have a much wider impact.. There will also need to be some cross boundary coordination with neighbouring districts especially to be aware of the spending decision of neighbouring parish councils. On the basis of the neighbourhood model, the process for engagement can be led by the communities and neighbourhood manager for each area.

10. Engagement needs to make use of existing mechanisms and should be via existing groups and networks e.g.: ward councillors, community organisations, business groups e.g. the Business Improvement District and other networks.

Proposed process for engaging with neighbourhoods

- 11. The process proposed is based on an annual rolling programme linked with the development of the business plan for Greater Norwich for the delivery of strategic infrastructure and the council's annual budget setting cycle.
- 12. The council will set up an officer CIL working group which in addition to coordinating the council's input to the Greater Norwich infrastructure business plan, developing and delivering projects arising from this, will coordinate the process of community engagement over the neighbourhood element of CIL. Draft terms of reference for the CIL working group are shown in appendix 2.
- 13. The working group will meet in the summer to consider:
 - a) The Greater Norwich business plan for strategic infrastructure
 - b) Details of CIL neighbourhood income already received (i.e. 15% (or 25% where there is a neighbourhood plan) or forecast to be received over the next 2-3 years for each neighbourhood.
 - c) Other funding which may be available which could be used alongside CIL
 - d) Details of emerging ideas for neighbourhood projects arising from strategic or local needs
- 14. All this information will be made available to the communities and neighbourhood managers so that they can commence the engagement process with the neighbourhoods.
- 15. Engagement will take place in the early autumn. Given the make up of the city it is proposed that the council makes use of existing community engagement mechanisms to inform the spending of the neighbourhood element of CIL. The neighbourhood manager will decide which engagement mechanisms are appropriate depending on the level of funding and their knowledge of the issues affecting their neighbourhoods. Through the council's neighbourhood teams, a number of different engagement mechanisms have been developed. It is proposed that existing mechanisms that allow residents to inform and shape council services are used and adapted where necessary to inform this expenditure.
- 16. These include:
 - a) Walkabouts these are carried out on a monthly basis in each neighbourhood and might include; a physical walkabout; a roadshow or door knocking exercise
 - b) Neighbourhood events this might include attendance at a local event e.g. the Mile Cross Festival

- c) Network lunches & meetings where partners share best practice, information and intelligence
- d) Ward councillor meetings which provides an opportunity to capture information that ward councillors have gathered or received in their post bags from residents about local issues
- e) One off surveys e.g. on- line surveys
- f) Neighbourhood profiles
- g) Engagement with local resident groups
- h) Capturing of comments and observations from residents
- 17. Given that the mechanism will need to be proportionate to the level of CIL funding available, as the funding comes on stream, the mechanism and complexity of engagement can be planned. The engagement will be appropriately publicised.
- 18. It will be for local communities to suggest:
 - a) Whether they wish the neighbourhood funding element to be used to contribute to any of the planned strategic infrastructure priorities in the Greater Norwich infrastructure plan;
 - b) The relative priority given to ideas emerging from the CIL working group;
 - c) Other new project ideas;
 - d) Whether they prefer to see funds from one year retained for use in future years, when larger amounts of money may accrue; and
 - e) Any other available funding that may be used alongside CIL.

- 19. Following the engagement the CIL working group will meet again to discuss the outcome of the engagement process and agree the recommendations to cabinet/ council to be agreed as part of the council's capital programme. A clear set of criteria will be set out on which decisions will be based and these will be publicised. These will consider:
 - Impact (the outcomes that will be achieved from the proposed project);
 - Deliverability (are there any constraints to implementing the project in the proposed timescale); and
 - Funding (availability of other funds, appropriateness of use of CIL).
- 20. This group may also be able to consider if there are opportunities to pool the funds with other council funding streams to achieve a bigger impact e.g. open space, play, highways and environmental improvements.
- 21. Communities will be informed of the recommendations to cabinet / council and will be provided with full feedback about the basis on which decisions have been made.
- 22. Local ward members will be involved in the engagement process but will also be kept fully briefed so that they can help to communicate with local people.
- 23. The process described above will be subject to review in light of experience in operating it.

Appendix 1: Forecast CIL neighbourhood funding for Norwich

Financial Period	Total £'000's
2014/2015	126
2015/2016	234
2016/2017	422
Estimated Community CIL Revenues 2014-2017	782
Sensitised Community CIL Revenues 2014-2017 (25%)	587
2017/2026	3184
Estimated Community CIL Revenues 2014-2026	3966
Sensitised Community CIL Revenues 2014-2026 (25%)	2974

Appendix 2: CIL working Group – Terms of reference.

Membership:

- City growth and development manager
- City growth and development coordinator
- 4 x communities and neighbourhood managers
- Reps from teams who may contribute other finance and potential spending services, including:
- Transportation
- Green spaces
- Landscape and conservation
- Sport and leisure
- NPS Norwich- Housing property
- Housing
- S.106 officer
- Rep from Communications team
- Finance (re capital programme)

Objectives:

- To provide a City corporate officer input into Greater Norwich infrastructure business plan
- To develop and deliver specific projects arising from the Greater Norwich infrastructure business plan
- To develop project ideas for consideration by neighbourhoods for use of CIL neighbourhood funding
- To deliver specific projects using the neighbourhood funding element
- To coordinate effective use of CIL funding alongside other funding sources on an area basis
- To coordinate consultation with any other planned council consultation



The IIA should assess **the impact of the recommendation** being made by the report Detailed guidance to help with completing the assessment can be found <u>here</u>. Delete this row after completion

Report author to complete

Committee:	Cabinet
Committee date:	5 February 2014
Head of service:	Andy Watt
Report subject:	CIL- Process for engaging with local communities on the expenditure of the community element of CIL
Date assessed:	23 December 2013
Description:	

	Impact			
Economic (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Finance (value for money)		\square		CIL will provide income for new infrastructure projects
Other departments and services e.g. office facilities, customer contact	\square			
ICT services				
Economic development		\square		
Financial inclusion		\square		
Social (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Safeguarding children and adults				•
S17 crime and disorder act 1998	\square			
Human Rights Act 1998				
Health and well being		\square		

	Impact			
Equality and diversity (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Relations between groups (cohesion)		\square		
Eliminating discrimination & harassment	\square			
Advancing equality of opportunity		\square		
Environmental (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Transportation		\square		CIL income may benefit transportation provision
Natural and built environment		\square		CIL income may provide improvements to the natural and built environment
Waste minimisation & resource use	\square			
Pollution	\square			
Sustainable procurement				
Energy and climate change				

	Impact			
(Please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Risk management		\boxtimes		

Recommendations from impact assessment					
Positive					
CIL income will provide benefits to local communities and help to mitigate the impact of development.					
Negative					
Neutral					
Issues					