



NORWICH
City Council

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

Date of Hearing: 26 April 2012

Licence Type: Premises Licence

Application to vary a Premises Licence

Name of Applicant: Puvasingham Vaseeharan

Name of Premises: Castle Services

Postal address of Premises: Rose Lane, Norwich NR1 1BY

Licensing Sub-Committee: Councillors Driver (Chair), Kendrick and Wright

DETERMINATION:

The Sub Committee heard details of the application from the Applicant and from those representing him. The applicant proposed a number of additional measures to be put into place at the premises to ensure compliance with the four Licensing Objectives.

The Sub Committee heard from Mrs Justine Conway, an Interested Party, who raised concerns that the granting of the application would lead to increased breaches of the Licensing Objectives in the vicinity of the premises.

The Sub Committee also considered the written submissions of two further Interested Parties who raised similar concerns.

Decision

The Licensing Sub-Committee unanimously resolved to approve the application in full and to add the following conditions to the licence:-

- 1) There will be a minimum of two staff on duty between 2300 hours and 0600 hours the following day on every Thursday, Friday and Saturday. If, after a period of six calendar months from the date of this decision,

there is no evidence of any alcohol related disorder or other complaint, the applicant may make application to the Licensing Authority to reduce the staffing level between 2300 on a Thursday and 0600 on a Friday to one person.

- 2) That CCTV images shall be retained for a minimum of 56 days, commencing within 4 weeks of the date of the decision
- 3) The applicant will put up notices within the curtilage of his premises advising that alcohol purchased from the premises may not be consumed within or on any part of those premises.

Reason for the Decision

1. Having heard from the Applicant and the Interested parties, the Sub Committee, whilst being sympathetic to the concerns of the Interested Parties, considered that there was insufficient evidence that allowing the extension to the permitted hours of the premises gave grounds for refusing the application.
2. The Applicant put forward his good working relationship with the police, the general decrease in alcohol related crime in the area and the fact that no disorder had been directly connected with the licensable activities of the premises.
3. The applicant put forward his proposals for additional safeguards to prevent breaches of the Licensing Objectives as identified above, and had already taken steps to instigate the retention of CCTV images for a longer period.
4. The Sub Committee considered that the additional measures proposed by the applicant were proportionate and sufficient to address any risk arising from the granting of the application and to promote the licensing objectives of crime and disorder, public safety and the prevention of public nuisance.

Right of a party to appeal against the determination of the Authority.

Any party who is aggrieved by the decision, or by the imposition of any term, condition or restriction, has a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court within 21 days of the date on which they are notified of the decision.

Dated this 30th April 2012