Report to Cabinet Item

12 February 2020

Report of Head of neighbourhood housing services

13

Subject To award a contract for structural repairs at Chipperfield

Road, Millers Lane and Pearcefield

KEY DECISION

Purpose

To seek approval to award a contract for housing structural repairs at Chipperfield Road, Millers Lane and Pearcefield.

Recommendations

To award the contract for housing structural repairs at Chipperfield Road, Millers Lane and Pearcefield to Bawburgh Installations Ltd for the sum of £215,205.47.

Corporate and service priorities

The report helps to meet the corporate priority a healthy city with good housing

Financial implications

The financial consequence of this report is the award of a contract for structural repairs and improvements with a tender cost of £215,205.47 the budget for which is included within the HRA Capital Programme for 2020/2021.

Ward/s: Multiple Wards

Cabinet member: Councillor Harris - Deputy Leader and social housing

Contact officers

Lee Robson, Head of Neighbourhood Housing 01603 212939

Neil Watts, Manager Major Works and Services, NPS 01603 227172

Norwich

Background documents

None

Report

Introduction

- 1. The Council has a programme of structural repairs and improvements deemed necessary to ensure the housing stock remains in a good state of repair, and tenants have quality homes to live in. The contract covered in this report forms a part of this programme of works. A total of 16 flats will benefit from the repairs, situated in Chipperfield Road, Millers Lane and Pearcefield
- 2. The scope of the contract includes concrete repairs to stairs and access decks, deck membrane works, brickwork repairs. At Pearcefield and Millers Lane the original stairs have been removed and there are temporary stairs in place, these schemes will receive new steel stair cases.
- 3. The access decks and the original stairs at Chipperfield Road are made of reinforced concrete, which has deteriorated due to water penetration.
- 4. The deck membranes protect the concrete decks from water ingress significantly reducing the likelihood of further corrosion of the steel reinforcement and subsequent deterioration of the concrete.
- 5. These works will extend the life expectancy of the structural elements. The specialist systems come with warranties for materials and workmanship of 10 years for concrete repairs and 15 years for the waterproof anti-slip deck membrane systems.

Procurement Process

- 6. The opportunity was advertised on the council's e-procurement portal and Contracts Finder on 2 December 2019 with 13 expressions of interest received.
- 7. Suppliers were asked to submit details of their organisation in terms of finance, contractual matters, insurances, quality assurance, environmental standards, health and safety, equality and diversity credentials, references and previous experience. These aspects were evaluated to ensure that suppliers met the Council's basic requirements.
- 8. At the same time, suppliers were asked to submit details in the form of method statements proposing how they would meet the requirement for the work package and the price that they would charge to carry out this work. These method statements were evaluated once it had been confirmed that the supplier had met the Council's basic requirements.

Tender evaluation

- 9. Tenders were received from three contractors.
- 10. The tender process required suppliers to answer quality questions covering five topics:
 - Logistics and Project delivery
 - Supply chain management

- Contract management, quality management and tenant liaison
- Temperature sensitive work
- Design and value engineering
- 11. The responses were evaluated against pre-determined criteria. This quality assessment carried a maximum of 40% of the marks. The lowest price was allocated 60% of the marks and marks were deducted, pro-rata, with each increasing tender price.
- 12. The supplier with the highest cumulative score was deemed the best value submission. The results of the assessment are shown below.

	Price	Price	Quality	Total	
Contractor		score	score	score	
Contractor 1	£215,205.47	50.67	40.00	90.67	
Contractor 2	£186,240.42	60.00	28.67	88.67	
Contractor 3	£284,969.13	28.67	29.67	57.86	

- 13. The tender submitted by Bawburgh Installation Ltd received the highest combined score and therefore represents the best value for money.
- 14. These works are subject to leaseholder consultation

Integrated impact assessment



The IIA should assess the impact of the recommendation being made by the report

Detailed guidance to help with the completion of the assessment can be found here. Delete this row after completion

Report author to complete	
Committee:	Cabinet
Committee date:	12 February 2020
Director / Head of service	Lee Robson
Report subject:	Procurement of a housing structural repairs contract
Date assessed:	16 January 2020
Description:	Structural repairs to stairs and access walkways at Chipperfield Road, Millers Lane and Pearcefield

	Impact			
Economic (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Finance (value for money)				Open tendering ensures that best value is achieved.
Other departments and services e.g. office facilities, customer contact				
ICT services				
Economic development				
Financial inclusion	\boxtimes			
Social (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Safeguarding children and adults				
S17 crime and disorder act 1998				
Human Rights Act 1998				
Health and well being				

		Impact		
Equality and diversity (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Relations between groups (cohesion)				
Eliminating discrimination & harassment				
Advancing equality of opportunity				
Environmental (please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments
Transportation				
Natural and built environment				The works will extend the life expectancy of the properties.
Waste minimisation & resource use				
Pollution				
Sustainable procurement				
Energy and climate change	\boxtimes			
(Please add an 'x' as appropriate)	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Comments

	Impact			
Risk management				There is a low risk that the appointed supplier could fail during the life of the contract. There is little risk to the council as it is not investing in the supplier. The risk is one of service continuity rather than financial which is further mitigated by the fact that the contract is planned in nature.
Recommendations from impact ass	essment			
Positive				
Negative				
Neutral				
Issues				