

Planning Applications Committee: 9 August 2018

Updates to reports

Applications: 18/00503/O and 18/00504/O

Items: 4(b) and (c) Page: 33 and 61

Address: St Peters Methodist Church

Additional representation (summarised):

I object to this proposal on the grounds that there is 0 social housing proposed in an area that can well afford to sustain and support affordable/social housing, and also that insufficient funds are proposed for provision elsewhere in lieu. Too many units and density. Housing is needed, but not tiny units.

The objection also raises the following matters: adverse visual impact of parking on street frontage; increase in traffic with adverse impact on highway safety; lack of parking and of green space; strain on foul sewer and water supply; increased risk of flooding; loss of privacy; lack of light to neighbouring dwellings; poor design, out of scale and overpowering; lack of detail; concerned with the preservation of historic parts of the building; any alteration would change the look of the area altogether; sad to remove mature and healthy trees; loss of community use/amenity value (reason for local listing); housing needs to be accessible for people with disabilities; no mention of environmentally friendly design or energy efficiency; and, keep some form of worship amenity.

Officer response:

The matters raised are addressed in the reports on each application.

Application: 18/00796/VC

Item: 4(f) Page: 107

Address: 7 Dowding Road

Amendment

Paragraph 16 should now read:

The principle of development has already been accepted through the approval of 16/01017/F. The revisions to the NPPF do not affect the consideration of this application and the previous policy on development of garden land is carried forward to paragraph 70 of the NPPF. Therefore the only matters under consideration are the changes to the scheme, which are assessed in the following sections.

Due to the receipt of revised plans, paragraph 25 should now read:

There is the potential for additional hard surfacing to be provided on site for access. It is considered necessary to remove permitted development rights for hard surfacing and request that supplementary arboricultural information is submitted for these works to ensure the protection of trees.