Report to	Audit committee
	22 January 2013
Report of	Head of audit, risk and insurance, LGSS
Subject	Review of corporate risk register

Purpose

To update members on the results of the review by cabinet of the council's key corporate risks and associated mitigation actions.

Recommendation

To note the latest version of the corporate risk register.

Financial Consequences

There are no direct financial consequences.

Risk Management

The report deals with the councils risk management processes.

Strategic Objective/Service Priorities

The report helps to meet the corporate priority "Value for money services"

Contact Officers

Steve Tinkler, head of audit, risk and insurance, LGSS	01604 367055
Steve Dowson, audit manager – Norwich, LGSS	01603 212575

Background Documents

None.

5

Report

Background

- 1. The previous version of the corporate risk register was presented to audit committee in November 2012.
- 2. At that meeting the chair said that he considered that climate change was a major risk factor and was identified on the corporate risk registers of a number or local authorities. He suggested that it would be appropriate to group the measures that the council was taking to address the effects of climate change in one place and include them in the register.
- 3. The chief executive and audit manager pointed out that although the effect of climate change was a long term major issue facing the council, the purpose of the corporate risk register was to address those risks which could prevent the council from achieving its objectives over the period covered by the corporate plan.
- 4. Following further discussion members resolved "to recommend to cabinet that the effects of climate change are included as a risk on the corporate risk register."
- 5. The corporate leadership team believes that the short-term and long-term effects of climate change are already being addressed by the council in a number of ways, both at an operational level and at a county-wide level through its membership of bodies such as the Norfolk Climate Change Partnership and Norfolk Resilience Forum.
- 6. In view of this, the report to cabinet proposed that risk CR10 business continuity, which primarily concerns risks to council services, could be renamed and expanded to include further details of the council's emergency planning role in the event of a significant emergency in the city.
- 7. The proposed changes to risk CR10 were approved by cabinet at their meeting on 12 December 2012 and are highlighted on the register attached at annex 1. The likelihood score was amended from 3 (possible) to 4 (likely) because empirical evidence shows that the frequency of certain severe weather events is increasing. The result is that CR10 is now one of the council's major risks.
- 8. No other changes to the register were recommended to cabinet, therefore with the exception of CR10 the version at annex 1 is the same as presented to audit committee in November 2012.

Other changes to the corporate risk register

9. A number of changes to the format and content of the register have been suggested by managers and CLT. It is proposed that rather than list risks in risk score order they should be grouped to show the type of risk, for instance financial, strategic, partners etc.

- 10.CLT also agreed that the content of the register needs to be further refined to ensure that elements that refer to service risks are identified and transferred from the corporate register to the relevant service risk registers.
- 11. In view of the above LGSS will be asked to assist the council to review its risk management processes, including a review of the risk management strategy. Progress will be reported to your next meeting.

Summary

12. Members can take assurance from the fact that the current corporate risk register is the result of continued review by managers, CLT and audit committee of the key risks that may have an impact on achieving the council's objectives. Each risk shows the owner and the mitigating measures in place to minimise any impact on the council and its provision of services to stakeholders.

Norwich City Council Key corporate risks

Approved by cabinet December 2011

Reviewed by audit committee January 2012

Updated by corporate leadership and departmental management teams April – June 2012

Reviewed by audit committee July 2012

Updated by corporate leadership team October 2012

Reviewed by audit committee November 2012

Approved by cabinet December 2012

Key Corporate Risks Summary (next 2 – 3 years)

18 risks ranked, 4 red risks

		Impa	act / C	onseque	ences	
		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
		1	2	3	5	7
	5 Very High				1, 27	
oility	4 Likely			17a	8, 10	
Likelihood / Probability	3 Possible			19, 25	5, 12, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26	
ikeliho	2 Unlikely				5, 11	
	1 Rare					

No.	Top Corporate Risks
Very High	Likelihood – Major impact
CR01	Public sector funding
CR27	Income generation
Likely – M	lajor impact
CR08	Customer demand
CR10	Emergency planning and business continuity

Council Priorities 2012-15

City Council Priorities	
1. To make Norwich a safe and clean city	
2. To make Norwich a prosperous city	
3. To make Norwich a city with decent housing for all	
4. To make Norwich a city of character and culture	
5. To provide value for money services	

Key Corporate Risks

Likelihood scored on a scale: 1 rare; 2 unlikely; 3 possible; 4 likely; 5 very high Impact scored on a scale: 1 insignificant; 2 minor; 3 moderate; 5 major; 7 catastrophic

Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
CR01	All	5	5	\$	25	Public sector funding	A major reduction in public sector funding, including consequences of changes in funding arrangements for other bodies. Impact on balancing the budget – significant change and financial savings required. New policies and regulations place a major financial burden on the Council e.g. RSG and HRA restructuring. Change in direction of government policy.	Further economic decline. Change in national government policy as a result of the economic position. Unable to make saving within the required timescales	 Erosion of reserves Major financial problems Reputation damage Possible industrial action Changes become "knee jerk" Govt intervention Further savings required Council loses critical mass in key areas Service failures Potential disproportionate impact on the poorest and most vulnerable members of society 	S151 officer	
	Medium Te CLT & Cabi regularly re HRA busine Weekly revi	net, transforma viewed and up	rategy incl. tion project dated. jovernment	reserves po s regularly	monitore		Responsibility for Action CLT and S151 officer	<u>.</u>	Review Frequency Monthly or more frequently if needed		
CR27	All	5	5	NEW	25	Income generation	Impact on balancing the budget – significant change and financial savings required. Under-utilisation of assets. Failure to agree with GNDP partners CIL funding arrangements for investment in core infrastructure required to deliver homes and jobs.	Further economic decline. Unable to make saving within the required timescales. Other triggers: Bethel St Police Station – market value payment. Triennial pensions review.	 Inability to raise capital receipts Decline in income streams (eg rents from investment properties) – insufficient funds to maintain current service levels Erosion of reserves Major financial problems Reputation damage Govt intervention Further savings required 	S151 officer	

Risk Council Likelihood Impact Change Risk Description Vulnerability Trigger Consequence **Ownership** No Priority Score CIL (community infrastructure VAT partial Council loses critical mass ٠ levy) income is below exemption. in key areas expectations. Variable energy • Service failures Potential disproportionate prices. Collapse in world markets leading Increasing voids impact on the poorest and to loss of income due to market and most vulnerable members economy factors. of society Loss of major • Damage/costs across void Low economic growth or recession reduces income tenant. portfolio GNDP board • Essential infrastructure to decision or cabinet deliver growth in the decision on CIL GNDP area is delayed. investment arrangements. Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** Medium Term Financial Strategy incl. reserves policy, financial reporting to CLT and S151 officer Monthly or more frequently if needed CLT & Cabinet, transformation projects regularly monitored, MTFS is regularly reviewed and updated. HRA business plan. GNDP have an agreed investment plan for the Greater Norwich area and have appointed consultants to advise on the use of CIL to help deliver this programme. Public examination on the soundness of the CIL tariff has been completed and inspectors report due in November 2012. Customer demand exceeds our Excessive Unable to cope with Deputy **CR08** Customer All 4 5 20 • 比 demand capacity to deliver services as customer demand demand chief they are currently configured. in key areas, • Complaints executive particularly in Reputation damage • Transfer of demand arising from relation to the service delivery changes or need to cut budget cuts by other public services, or agencies changes to policies eq council tax benefits Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** Proactive research on customer profile, forward planning, eg anticipating Head of service where relevant and customer contact Continuous future events that will generate higher demand and use of data held to map and channel shift. Data capture, consultation, survey and service planning. Being robust about the role and responsibilities of Norwich City Council

Risk Council Likelihood Impact Change Risk Description Vulnerability Trigger Consequence **Ownership** No Priority Score CR10 All 34 5 15 Emergency The council delivers a range of Occurrence of a Service disruption and Deputy chief \Leftrightarrow 20 planning and complex services to vulnerable significant event: inability to deliver executive and 仑 business elements of the community. services executive Disruption of the Organisations generally are continuity head of • ICT failure experiencing significant Contractor delivery of goods and business continuity events once every five services to the council relationship collapse • years on average. Severe weather Increased requests for management The council, businesses and council resources and events – storms. members of the public in the city services heatwaves, may also be at risk from the local strong winds Health and safety effects of climate change in the Flooding impact on staff and medium to long term. Sea level rise vulnerable residents • Fuel shortages Damage to council • Communications property and impact on failure tenants • Pandemic Reputation damage • Years to recover ٠ Poor inspection reports • Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** The council is a member of the Norfolk Resilience Forum, which has Public Health & Enforcement protection manager co-All documents have review dates after ordinates general business and the council's role in produced a Norfolk Community Risk Register valuable lessons learned. Business continuity Business continuity team with access to resources; action plans have been emergency planning. Service improvement manager plans for works contracts reviewed as used to deal with actual total City Hall IT failure; alternative site for co-ordinates the IT list of key officers. Heads of necessary. customer contact team; disaster recovery plan and the use of Blackberries service responsible for works contracts and ensuring for communications. resilience is built into service plans. The council has a major emergency management strategy and emergency planning room established at City Hall. Approach has also been used to test business continuity in the event of the main works contractor changing. Flu pandemic plan. The Norfolk Climate Change Partnership has produced a climate change risk assessment for Norfolk local authorities. Adaptations to protect the council from the local effects of climate change and address the causes are covered by corporate strategies such as the environmental strategy and sustainable community strategy, together with service plans.

Risk Council Likelihood Impact Change Risk Description Vulnerability Trigger Consequence **Ownership** No Priority Score Delivery of the The council has a clear set of Key priorities for the City CR05 All 3 5 15 Corporate Executive \Leftrightarrow corporate plan corporate priorities within its priorities are not are not delivered head of and key corporate plan. Within the on target to be Projects halted or delayed strategy, ٠ Adverse public opinion supporting council's wider strategic delivered. • people and policies and framework, there are a number • Projects / work completed democracy strategies of key corporate strategies and to a lower quality within the policies which must be delivered Negative impact on • across the organisation to realise council's outcomes for customers the council's priorities e.g. strategic • Negative performance framework, environmental strategy, financial ratings for the council inclusion strategy etc including • Continual over-stretching environmental The new localism act and other of capacity strategy and key pieces of legislation are Inconsistent approach • financial changing the framework for local taken across council inclusion government and put new Full benefits not realised • strategy. requirements on the council that • Benefits of cross working must be met in a number of not gained Lack of corporate working different areas. When this is • combined with the significant • Staff confusion over savings the council will need to policies and process make to meet the government Failure to take the funding reductions, there is a risk opportunity to make the that these changes will reduce lives of Norwich citizens the capacity of the council to better deliver on its key corporate priorities. Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** Regular review of Corporate Plan, Medium Term Financial Strategy and CLT and heads of service Continuous process other key policies and strategies, effective performance and programme management, corporate planning and service planning aligned with budget setting to ensure resources are in place to deliver priorities. Effective preparation for changes in legislation. CR12 All 3 5 15 ICT strategy The council has transferred its ICT strategy fails Incoherent approach to Executive to support the head of ICT service to LGSS and it will ICT systems rely on LGSS to develop an ICT organisation Systems not customer business • moving forward relationship strategy for the council. friendly Systems are not and the lean • management integrated with one and blueprint for a new

council.

other

Description Risk Council Likelihood Impact Change Risk Vulnerability Trigger Consequence **Ownership** No Priority Score ٠ Drain on resources as staff work around the systems Lack of accuracy in key • data Data are unreliable • Key information not • trusted Hinders management ٠ and service improvements • Failure to deliver council priorities Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** NCC has developed an ICT strategic direction document detailing the key Bi-annual review of overall governance Executive head of business relationship management areas where ICT is required to support business objectives and change. for governance arrangements in the contract and arrangements Management of the LGSS relationship will seek to ensure that NCC chairing corporate information assurance group requirements are delivered. The council has a number of key The council doesn't get CR17 5 3 5 15 Relationship Partnerships not Deputy chief ٠ \Leftrightarrow management partnerships with LGSS, NPS executive and managed value for money Norwich, and NP Law. There is with kev effectively and key ٠ Benefits of partner and executive service delivery also a highways agency service outcomes contract arrangements head of agreement with Norfolk County partners and not achieved. not realised business Council. This approach to service the Constant negotiation relationship ٠ management of delivery requires a different around the service management contracts. managerial approach by the city delivery agreement council. Specification not • adhered to The council also has a number of Contracts not Services not provided at ٠ key contracts – eq with NORSE, managed an acceptable level BIFFA, and Anglia Windows Ltd, effectively, and • Customer and staff - which require strong, key service complaints consistent procurement and outcomes not client management. achieved.

Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
		ntrols and oth	her mitiga	tion	00010	1	Responsibility for Action	1	Review Frequency	I	
	agreements major contr with officer In response reviewed ar management environmer A contract a developed a management and manag	 (eg NPS Norw acts have strate and member re- to the council nd staffing struct training has lated works contributed and business re- and is being depart 	ich Board, I egic and op epresentation operating r ctures refre been compl acts. lationship r ployed. Th cial and pe pnomic, soc	LGSS liaison perational g on. model traini shed to ref leted for sta nanagemer is aims to c rformance	n group, overnanc ing requir lect this c aff delive nt toolkit create cor objective	has been nsistency of s and monitoring	Business management group.		Monthly and quarterly reviews	s undertaken.	
CR20	All	3	5	¢	15	Level of reserves	The council has a legal duty to ensure it has a prudent level of reserves to conduct its business. Government policy. Economic climate	Reserves fall below acceptable levels	 Inadequate levels of reserves publicly reported by external auditors Government intervention Impact on reputation of the council 	S151 officer	
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion		•	Responsibility for Action	·	Review Frequency		
	Action/controls and other mitigation Medium term financial strategy. HRA Business Plan. Planning and delivery of transformation (savings) programme. Contract and business relationship management to identify and respond to business delivery risks. Budget development, in-year monitoring and control						Chief finance officer, CLT and busin group	ness management	Quarterly		
	· ·	-							<u> </u>		
CR21	1	3	5	¢	15	Safeguarding children, vulnerable adults and equalities duties.	Safeguarding and equalities duties and responsibilities not embedded throughout the council and its contractors/commissioned services/partners. Short term works contracts mean that there is an ongoing requirement to ensure contractors comply with the council's safeguarding children and adults duties and those relating to equalities.	Critical incident Change in contractor/ commissioned service/partner.	 Vulnerable adults and children at greater risk of exclusion or harm Individuals from a community of identity dealt with inappropriately and at risk of exclusion Risk of judicial review on accessibility of services 	executive	

										Annex 1	
Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
110	Thority				5000		Change in council service delivery model with an increase in the number of partnership arrangements will require new arrangements for the delivery of safeguarding and equalities duties. Impact of cuts on care services and benefit funding.	Change in contractor/ commissioned service/partner Reduced service provision			
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion			Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency		
	Action/controls and other mitigationSafeguarding children policy and procedures in place and reviewed annually through safeguarding group. Safeguarding compliance self assessment improvement plan being implemented Safeguarding vulnerable adult policy and procedures being prepared. Safeguarding duties included in new contracts and programme to ensure duties are embedded is in progress with new contractors, and joint training/ awareness sessions have been held. Equalities duties is overseen by corporate equalities group.A contract and business relationship management toolkit has been developed and is being deployed. This aims to create consistency of management of both financial and performance objectives and monitoring and management of all economic, social and environmental issues associated with the service and particularly in relation to safeguarding						Safeguarding children - Head of loo services and all contract managers Safeguarding vulnerable adults - Tr manager and all contract managers Equalities – Head of policy and pro management	enancy support s.	Quarterly		
CR22	All	3	5	\$	15	Industrial action	Changes to pension regulations and pay restraint and changes to terms and conditions could lead to industrial action by employees	National negotiating framework - failure to agree. Ballot of union members. Implementation of changes to the LGPS. Implementation of government interventions on pay	 Loss of key services Public safety Loss of income Reputation 	Executive head of strategy, people and democracy	
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion			Responsibility for Action	рау	Review Frequency	I	
	2 stages – i industrial ad	managing the t	hreat of ind	lustrial acti			Head of HR and learning		Monitor and review in line w consultation and negotiation		

Risk	Council	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Annex 1 Ownership	
No	essential se CLT agree assessing t nature of th from pay e National an	ervices and ensu and implement he scale of the a ne action, wider	ure statutor strategy foi action, com industrial r ance	y duties ar response munication relations im	e met to strike a s, respon plications	se depending on , deductions					
CR23	All	3	5	\$	15	Community right to challenge	The Localism Act includes the community right to challenge. This means that community organisations (along with private contractors if they choose) can challenge the council on the delivery of a service and in doing so trigger a procurement process. This could lead to a fragmentation of services and leave the council with fixed overheads that would need to be paid for by the remaining services.	A successful challenge or series of challenges leads to a fragmentation of services.	Services become fragmented and remaining overheads cannot be mitigated and overall service cost increases.	Executive head of business relationship management	
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion			Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency		
	Keep servic If a challen to account Ensure any		v to ensure ensure that the service result of ch	they are d it the procu including o	rement poverheads		Heads of service				
CR24	5	3	5	\$	15	Information security	Sensitive and/or personal data is sent to the incorrect recipient or not kept securely, or is lost.	Data is emailed to insecure email addresses. Lap top or memory stick containing data is lost or stolen. Information is sent to incorrect addresses. Hard copy data is lost or stolen.	 Fine up to £0.5 million Reputational risk 	Executive head of business relationship management	

Risk Council Likelihood Impact Change Risk Description Vulnerability Trigger Consequence **Ownership** No Priority Score Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** Regularly remind all managers and employees of their responsibilities for Managers to remind all employees of their the use of and security of data. responsibilities Avoid using mobile devices to store or process sensitive or personal data. All employees regularly required to read and sign up to Encrypt lap tops and data sticks when they are used to store or process the relevant policies sensitive or personal data. All new starters required to read and sign up to the Proper disposal of confidential waste. relevant policies CLT to consider mandatory data protection training before access to any systems is granted (recommendation from Civica audit) Cash receipting The council needs to change its The hosted CR26 All 3 5 NEW 15 Cash flow if the council Executive existing cash receipting system system system solution is is unable to take card head of by the end of July 2013 to not available on business payments (currently maintain compliance with time or does not nearly £300k per week) relationship Payment Card Industry standards work and the Cost of alternative management The existing supplier is currently council is unable solution finalising a hosted system but to take card • Reputation this is unlikely to be available payments. Fines until Jan 2013 at the earliest. It The supplier is will then begin to move current unable to move customers but may not have the the council to the resources to complete the new system by exercise by the deadline the deadline Action/controls and other mitigation **Responsibility for Action Review Frequency** The supplier is finalising a hosted system and is hoping to move all current CLT and executive head of business relationship Monthly customers before July 2013, but other options are also being explored. management Service improvement manager is leading the project to find a solution. Exploring other solutions with LGSS CR17 5 Failure of maior The council has a number of key Key contractor Customer and staff Executive 4 3 12 \Leftrightarrow head of contractor & contractors who may be goes into complaints а legal challenge vulnerable to market and Services not delivered administration or • business following an economy factors. In addition the an injunction is Contingency plans have relationship unsuccessful number of legal challenges (and issued preventing to be invoked management tender bid therefore injunctions preventing the award of a Cost and time to • a contract award) is increasing new contract retender contract due to the financial pressures Cost and time to defend ٠ and reducing workload legal challenge Additional unforeseen costs impact delivery of balanced outturn and reserve levels

Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
NO		ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion	30010	I	Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency Quarterly		
	contingency tested. Ensure a ro appropriate NPS JV to b enable the sector. Thi will provide control by t Contingenc prudent min More use o	jor contractors y plans. Recentl obust procurement procurement re be extended to i JV to carry outv s approach is in enhanced secu the council. y budget and al nimum balance f shared service tor providers (eg	y put into p ent process egulations, nclude wor vork that w in line with t irity over th lowance fo of reserves s reduces s	is followed NCC proce ks division vas previou he Councils ne supplier r failures w	d continge I in accord sses and This arr sly contra s operatin and incre	ance with the best practice. rangement will incted to private og model. This ased direct calculation of	Head of service and contract mana responsibility for business continuit Procedural matters following a failu contractor will be undertaken by Ex business relationship management. Head of service and executive head relationship management to ensure processes followed	y planning ure of a major xecutive head of d of business			
CR04	3	2 (was 3)	5	Û	10 (was 15)	Norwich and Homes & Communities Agency Strategic Partnership (NAHCASP) Three elements: 1)Development of land at Bowthorpe for mixed tenure (amber) 2) Other affordable housing and regeneration schemes (amber) 3. South city centre	Reputation Change of rules by the government – tighter deadline for bidding for affordable housing grant Bowthorpe - need for master plan and detailed plan for phase one. Outline application submitted April 2012. Need to establish a future investment programme using funds from Bowthorpe development Need to establish deliverable development proposals and funding.	Material breach of contract Deadlines missed Funding for some projects may not be obtained Failure to obtain planning approval Failure to establish investment programme	 Projects halted or delaye Adverse public opinion Increase in local unemployment Funding may have to be returned Core infrastructure and affordable homes may r be delivered 	chief executive	

Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Annex 1 Ownership	
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	ner mitiga	tion		·	Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency	·	
	Contract. Strategic Board includes Members and HCA. Officer Implementation Board. Annual Business Plan. Project managers for individual projects. Regular financial and budget reports. Two audit reports gave good assurance on controls. New outline planning application submitted in April 2012 to provide development framework for phased delivery of the site. Consultants appointed for south city centre masterplan work. Council to take on role of development partner for affordable housing in phase one and care home development partner has been agreed.						Head of city development		Monthly highlight reports		
	T	T	T	Ι				T	Reputation damage	Deputy	
CR11	3		2 5 ↔ 10 Delivery of Joint Core Strategy (JCS)	2	The council, through the Greater Norwich Development Partnership, is seeking to promote delivery of the JCS. If delivered, JCS will see more than 30,000 homes built in the greater Norwich area, and 35,000+ jobs created over next 15 years. There has been a successful Legal challenge to the JCS. This will require a review of the development strategy for Broadland. The city council can adopt the strategy and this will enable work to continue on the identification of potential development sites and development management policies.	Failure of the GNDP board to agree a revised development strategy for Broadland.	Significant likelihood that the overall development strategy for the Greater Norwich area will not be delivered.				
		ntrols and oth	•				Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency		
	robust as p authority w are in agree	hat strategies be cossible and firm vorking based or ement with the by comprehensi	nly grounde n consensu proposed p	d in reliables decision-r policy frame	e evidenc making er ework. Al	e. Inter- nsures all parties I policy work is	Head of planning		Quarterly – business head of se	ervice group	

Risk	Council	Likelihood	Impact	Change		Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
No	Priority				Score						
CR19	5	3	3	\$	9	Fraud and corruption	Poor internal controls lead to fraudulent acts against the council, resulting in losses. Bribery Act 2010 came into force 1 July 2011 – lack of guidance or policies	Failure in internal control. Discovery of fraudulent acts. Allegations received. Member of staff or councillor breaks the law. Council fails to prevent bribery	 Loss of income or assets Adverse public opinion Effect on use of resources Increased costs of external audit Cost of investigation and rectifying weaknesses Prison 	S151 officer	
	Action/co	ntrols and oth	her mitigat	tion			Responsibility for Action	1	Review Frequency		
	assessment team, whist Review and	to protect carc leblowing polic update as nec in staff and mo	d payments y and prose essary polic	, National F ecution poli ies and pro	Fraud Initi cy. pcedures.		LGSS head of internal audit, risk ar		Quarterly		
CR25	3	3	3	\$	9	Housing Investment Strategy	As part of the reform of the HRA the council has taken on a substantial debt to replace the former negative housing subsidy system. This debt will be repaid over a period not exceeding 30 years. In addition to debt repayments the council has adopted a new standard for investment in the housing stock and a commitment to fund a new build programme. Should the cost of works increase and/or the level of income reduce, then it may be necessary to review the housing investment strategy. In addition, below inflation/rpi increases in rents will impact on income.	Reduction in rental income (arising from a high level of council house sales, increasing debt or other factors). Significant increase in the cost of delivering improvement works. Annual rent setting process	 Failure to deliver the Norwich Standard within the expected timescale Lack of resources to support a new build programme. Increased tenant dissatisfaction Reduced new build programme. 	Deputy chief executive and S151 officer.	

Risk No	Council Priority	Likelihood	Impact	Change	Risk Score	Description	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequence	Ownership	
	Action/controls and other mitigation						Responsibility for Action		Review Frequency	Review Frequency	
	Regular review of HRA business plan and housing investment plan to reflect financial position of the HRA. The main control will be the timescale for delivering the Norwich standa to all properties together with the delivery of any agreed new build programme.					orwich standard	Cabinet and CLT			Quarterly review of HRA BP by CLT. Annually as part of the budget setting process	